



International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management

Volume 10, Issue 2, March 2023



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 6.551

Financial Independence for Humanity

Dr. Abhijit Mishra

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Business Administration, Swami Shukdevanand (PG) College, Shahjahanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT: Financial independence is the status of having enough income or wealth sufficient to pay one's living expenses for the rest of one's life without having to be employed or dependent on others.[1] Income earned without having to work a job is commonly referred to as passive income. Others define financial independence differently according to their own goals. There are many strategies to achieve financial independence, each with their own benefits and drawbacks. Someone who wishes to achieve financial independence can find it helpful to have a financial plan and budget, so that they have a clear view of their current incomes and expenses, and can identify and choose appropriate strategies to move towards their financial goals. A financial plan addresses every aspect of a person's finances.[2]

KEYWORDS: financial, independence, goals, plans, strategies, budget, address, income

I. INTRODUCTION

Passive sources of income to achieve financial independence

The following is a non-exhaustive list of sources of passive income that potentially yield financial independence.

1. Bank fixed deposits and monthly income schemes
2. Business ownership (if the business does not require active operation)
3. Dividends from stocks, bonds and income trusts
4. Interest earned from deposit accounts, money market accounts or loans
5. Life annuity
6. Notes, including stocks and bonds
7. Oil leases
8. Patent licensing
9. Pensions
10. Rental property
11. Royalty from creative works, e.g. photographs, books, patents, music, etc.
12. Trust deed (real estate)

Approaches to financial independence

If a person can generate enough income to meet their needs from sources other than their primary occupation, they have achieved financial independence, regardless of age, existing wealth, or current salary. For example, if a 25-year-old has \$1000 in expenses per month, and assets that generate \$1000 or more per month, they have achieved financial independence. They have no need to work a regular job to pay their bills. On the other hand, if a 50-year-old has assets that generate \$1,000,000 a month but has expenses that equal more than that per month, they are not financially independent, as they still have to earn the difference each month to make all their payments. However, the effects of inflation must be considered. If a person needs \$100/month for living expenses today, they will need \$105/month next year and \$110.25/month the following year to support the same lifestyle, assuming a 5% annual inflation rate. Therefore, if the person in the above example obtains their passive income from a perpetuity, there will be a time when they lose their financial independence because of inflation. If someone receives \$5000 in dividends from stocks they own, but their expenses total \$4000, they can live on their dividend income because it pays for all their expenses to live (with some

left over). Under these circumstances, a person is financially independent. A person's assets and liabilities are an important factor in determining if they have achieved financial independence. An asset is anything of value that can be readily turned into cash (liquidated) if a person has to pay debt, whereas a liability is a responsibility to provide compensation. (Homes and automobiles with no loans or mortgages are common assets.) Since there are two sides to the assets and expenses equation, there are two main directions one can focus their energy: accumulating assets or reducing their expenses.

1. Asset accumulation
2. Accumulating assets can focus one or both of these approaches:
3. Gather revenue-generating assets until the generated revenue surpasses living/liability expenses.
4. Gather enough liquid assets to then sustain all future living/liability expenses.
5. Expense reduction

Another approach to financial independence is to reduce regular expenses while accumulating assets, to reduce the amount of assets required for financial independence. This can be done by focusing on simple living, or other strategies to reduce expenses.^{[3][4]}

II.DISCUSSION

The FIRE (Financial Independence, Retire Early) movement is a lifestyle movement with the goal of gaining financial independence and retiring early. The model became particularly popular among millennials in the 2010s, gaining traction through online communities via information shared in blogs, podcasts, and online discussion forums.^{[1][2][3][4][5]}

Those seeking to attain FIRE intentionally maximize their savings rate by finding ways to increase income and/or decrease expenses, along with aggressive investments that again increases their wealth and/or income. The objective is to accumulate assets until the resulting passive income provides enough money for living expenses throughout one's retirement years. Many proponents of the FIRE movement suggest the 4% rule as a rough withdrawal guideline, thus setting a goal of at least 25 times one's estimated annual living expenses. Upon reaching financial independence, paid work becomes optional, allowing for retirement from traditional work decades earlier than the standard retirement age.

Background

FIRE is achieved through aggressive saving, far more than the standard 10–15% typically recommended by financial planners.^[6] Assuming expenses are equal to income minus savings, and neglecting investment returns, observe that:

- At a savings rate of 10%, it takes $(1-0.1)/0.1 = 9$ years of work to save for 1 year of living expenses.
- At a savings rate of 25%, it takes $(1-0.25)/0.25 = 3$ years of work to save for 1 year of living expenses.
- At a savings rate of 50%, it takes $(1-0.5)/0.5 = 1$ year of work to save for 1 year of living expenses.
- At a savings rate of 75%, it takes $(1-0.75)/0.75 = 1/3$ year = 4 months of work to save for 1 year of living expenses.

From this example, it can be concluded that the time to retirement decreases significantly as savings rate is increased. For this reason, those pursuing FIRE attempt to save 50% or more of their income.^[7] At a 75% savings rate, it would take less than 10 years of work to accumulate 25 times the average annual living expenses suggested by 'the 4% safe withdrawal' rule.

There are also two sides to the spectrum of FIRE. Lean FIRE refers to the ability to retire early on a smaller accumulation of retirement income and limited living expenses which will require a frugal lifestyle during retirement. On the other end of this is Fat FIRE, which refers to the ability to retire early due to a large amount of accumulated wealth and passive income with no concerns about living expenses during retirement. A hybrid of these two is known as Barista FIRE, which refers to a semi-retired lifestyle of working part-time for some supplemental income, or retiring fully but with a partner who continues to work.

FIRE is viewed as a lifestyle, not simply an investment strategy. A common thread that challenges individuals that subscribe to the FIRE lifestyle is finding partners that share the same fiscal goals. Availability of online resources helps the movement to expand among Millennial high-net-worth individuals.^{[8][9][10]}

The emergence of social media has brought more attention to worker's sharing about their dissatisfaction. "Social media has made lives appear more glorious and expensive, but also allows others to broadly share about their financial freedom." said Zachary A. Bachner, CFP(r) of Summit Financial.^[11]

History

The main ideas behind the FIRE movement originate from the 1992 best-selling book *Your Money or Your Life* written by Vicki Robin and Joe Dominguez,^{[12][13]} as well as the 2010 book *Early Retirement Extreme* by Jacob Lund Fisker.^[14] These works provide the basic template of combining a lifestyle of simple living with income from investments to achieve financial independence. In particular, the latter book describes the relationship between savings rate and time to retirement, which allows individuals to quickly project their retirement date given an assumed level of income and expenses.

The Mr. Money Mustache blog, which started in 2011, is an influential voice that generated interest in the idea of achieving early retirement through frugality and helped popularize the FIRE movement.^{[15][16]} Other books, blogs, and podcasts continue to refine and promote the FIRE concept.^{[17][18][19]} A notable contributor to this movement includes Financial Freedom author Grant Sabatier, who works closely with Vicki Robin and popularized the idea of side hustling as a path to accelerate financial independence.^{[20][21][22]} In 2018, the FIRE movement received significant coverage by traditional mainstream media outlets.^{[7][12][13][15]} According to a survey conducted by the Harris Poll later that year, 11% of wealthier Americans aged 45 and older have heard of the FIRE movement by name while another 26% are aware of the concept.^[23]

2020 saw the introduction of dating sites and blogs dedicated to bringing partners that share the FIRE lifestyle together.^[24]

Criticism

Some critics allege that the FIRE movement "is only for the rich",^[25] pointing to the difficulties of achieving the high savings rates needed for FIRE on a low income.^[15] Another common criticism is that the FIRE movement is composed only of white "tech bros", a notion that highlights the fact that men are overrepresented in media coverage of the FIRE movement.^[26] A New York Times story focused on the women and women of color in the FIRE movement. It highlighted Kiersten Saunders and called Tanja Hester, author of the book *Work Optional*, "the matriarch of the FIRE women."^[27] Paula Pant, host of the *Afford Anything* podcast, and Jamila Souffrant, host of the *Journey to Launch* podcast, are also prominent women of color in the FIRE movement.^{[28][29]} Some also argue that early retirees are not saving enough for early retirement and the many unknowns that come with a longer time period. Because the retirement phase of FIRE could potentially last 70 years, critics say that it is inappropriate to apply the 4% rule, which was developed for a traditional retirement timeframe of 30 years.^[7] For that reason, Hester and economist Karsten Jeske argue for a safer withdrawal rate of 3.5% or less, which means saving 30-40 times one's annual spending instead of 25 times if the goal is to retire completely and never earn money again through employment (i.e. providing a service or product).^[30]

III.RESULTS

A pension is a fund into which amounts are paid regularly during the individual's working career, and from which periodic payments are made to support the person's retirement from work. A pension may be:

- a "defined benefit plan", where defined periodic payments are made in retirement (and the sponsor of the scheme (e.g. the employer) must make further payments into the fund if necessary to support these defined retirement payments), or
- a "defined contribution plan", under which defined amounts are paid in during the working life, and the retirement payments are whatever can be afforded from the fund.^[1]

Pensions should not be confused with severance pay; the former is usually paid in regular amounts for life after retirement, while the latter is typically paid as a fixed amount after involuntary termination of employment before retirement.

The terms "retirement plan" and "superannuation" tend to refer to a pension granted upon retirement of the individual;^[2] the terminology varies between countries. Retirement plans may be set up by employers, insurance companies, the government, or other institutions such as employer associations or trade unions. Called retirement plans in the United States, they are commonly known as pension schemes in the United Kingdom and Ireland and superannuation plans (or super^[3]) in Australia and New Zealand. Retirement pensions are typically in the form of a guaranteed life annuity, thus insuring against the risk of longevity.

A pension created by an employer for the benefit of an employee is commonly referred to as an occupational or employer pension. Labor unions, the government, or other organizations may also fund pensions. Occupational pensions are a form of deferred compensation, usually advantageous to employee and employer for tax reasons. Many pensions also contain an additional insurance aspect, since they often will pay benefits to survivors or disabled beneficiaries. Other vehicles (certain lottery payouts, for example, or an annuity) may provide a similar stream of payments.

The common use of the term pension is to describe the payments a person receives upon retirement, usually under pre-determined legal or contractual terms. A recipient of a retirement pension is known as a pensioner or retiree.

Types

Employment-based pensions

A retirement plan is an arrangement to provide people with an income during retirement when they are no longer earning a steady income from employment. Often retirement plans require both the employer and employee to contribute money to a fund during their employment in order to receive defined benefits upon retirement. It is a tax deferred savings vehicle that allows for the tax-free accumulation of a fund for later use as retirement income. Funding can be provided in other ways, such as from labor unions, government agencies, or self-funded schemes. Pension plans are therefore a form of "deferred compensation". A SSAS is a type of employment-based Pension in the UK. The 401(k) is the iconic self-funded retirement plan that many Americans rely on for much of their retirement income; these sometimes include money from an employer, but are usually mostly or entirely funded by the individual using an elaborate scheme where money from the employee's paycheck is withheld, at their direction, to be contributed by their employer to the employee's plan. This money can be tax-deferred or not, depending on the exact nature of the plan.

Some countries also grant pensions to military veterans. Military pensions are overseen by the government; an example of a standing agency is the United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Ad hoc committees may also be formed to investigate specific tasks, such as the U.S. Commission on Veterans' Pensions (commonly known as the "Bradley Commission") in 1955–56. Pensions may extend past the death of the veteran himself, continuing to be paid to the widow.

Social and state pensions

Many countries have created funds for their citizens and residents to provide income when they retire (or in some cases become disabled). Typically this requires payments throughout the citizen's working life in order to qualify for benefits later on. A basic state pension is a "contribution based" benefit, and depends on an individual's contribution history. For examples, see National Insurance in the UK, or Social Security in the United States of America.

Many countries have also put in place a "social pension". These are regular, tax-funded non-contributory cash transfers paid to older people. Over 80 countries have social pensions.^[4] Some are universal benefits, given to all older people regardless of income, assets or employment record. Examples of universal pensions include New Zealand Superannuation^[5] and the Basic Retirement Pension of Mauritius.^[6] Most social pensions, though, are means-tested, such as Supplemental Security Income in the United States of America or the "older person's grant" in South Africa.^[7]

Disability pensions

Some pension plans will provide for members in the event they suffer a disability. This may take the form of early entry into a retirement plan for a disabled member below the normal retirement age.

Disability pensions are a form of pension that provides financial support to individuals who are unable to work due to a disability. Disability pensions are often offered as a part of an employer's pension plan and may provide disabled employees with access to retirement benefits prior to the normal retirement age. This type of pension is particularly important for individuals who have suffered a disabling injury or illness and are unable to continue working.

The eligibility criteria for disability pensions can vary depending on the pension plan. In general, to be eligible for a disability pension, an individual must be unable to work due to a physical or mental disability that is expected to last for a prolonged period of time. In some cases, the disability must be severe enough that the individual is unable to perform any type of work.

In addition to providing financial support to disabled individuals, disability pensions may also offer additional benefits such as healthcare coverage, vocational rehabilitation, and job training programs in order to help disabled individuals re-enter the workforce seamlessly. Some pension plans also offer partial disability benefits to individuals who are only partially disabled and are able to work part-time or perform certain types of work.

It's important to note that the rules and regulations governing disability pensions can be complex, and eligibility criteria and benefits can vary widely depending on the pension plan. It's equally important for individuals to carefully review the terms and conditions of their pension plan to understand their eligibility for disability benefits and the benefits they may receive if they become disabled.

For instance, in the United States, disability pensions are also provided by the Social Security Administration (SSA). The SSA's disability program provides benefits to individuals who are unable to work due to a disability that is expected to last for at least one year or result in death. To be eligible for disability benefits from the SSA, individuals must have paid into the Social Security system for a certain number of years and have earned enough work credits.

In conclusion, disability pensions are an important form of financial support for individuals who are unable to work due to a disability. These pensions can provide disabled individuals with access to retirement benefits prior to the normal retirement age, as well as additional benefits such as healthcare coverage, vocational rehabilitation, and job training programs. Eligibility criteria and benefits can vary widely depending on the pension plan or by country, and it's important for individuals to carefully review the terms and conditions of their plan to understand their eligibility for disability benefits and the benefits they may receive in case they become disabled.

Benefits

The benefits of defined benefit and defined contribution plans differ based on the degree of financial security provided to the retiree. With defined benefit plans, retirees receive a guaranteed payout at retirement, determined by a fixed formula based on factors such as salary and years of service.^[8] The risk and responsibility of ensuring sufficient funding through retirement is borne by the employer or plan managers. This type of plan provides a level of financial security for retirees, ensuring they will receive a specific amount of income throughout their retirement years. However this income is not usually guaranteed to keep up with inflation, so its purchasing power may decline over the years.

On the other hand, defined contribution plans are dependent upon the amount of money contributed and the performance of the investment vehicles used.^[9] Employees are responsible for ensuring that their contributions are sufficient to provide for their retirement needs, and they face the risk of market fluctuations that could reduce their retirement savings. However, defined contribution plans provide more flexibility for employees, who can choose how much to contribute and how to invest their funds.

Hybrid plans, such as cash balance and pension equity plans, combine features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans. These plans have become increasingly popular in the US since the 1990s. Cash balance plans, for example, provide a guaranteed benefit like a defined benefit plan, but the benefit is expressed as an account balance, like a defined contribution plan. Pension equity plans are a type of cash balance plan that credits employee accounts with a percentage of their pay each year, similar to a defined contribution plan.

Overall, the benefits of retirement plans depend on the individual's personal financial goals and preferences. While defined benefit plans offer more security for retirees, defined contribution plans offer more flexibility and control for employees. Hybrid plans provide a combination of both types of benefits

Defined benefit plans

A Defined Benefit (DB) pension plan is a plan in which workers accrue pension rights during their time at a firm and upon retirement the firm pays them a benefit that is a function of that worker's tenure at the firm and of their earnings.^[10] In other words, a DB plan is a plan in which the benefit on retirement is determined by a set formula, rather than depending on investment returns. Government pensions such as Social Security in the United States are a type of defined benefit pension plan. Traditionally, defined benefit plans for employers have been administered by institutions which exist specifically for that purpose, by large businesses, or, for government workers, by the government itself. A traditional form of defined benefit plan is the final salary plan, under which the pension paid is equal to the number of years worked, multiplied by the member's salary at retirement, multiplied by a factor known as the accrual rate. The final accrued amount is available as a monthly pension or a lump sum, but usually monthly.

The benefit in a defined benefit pension plan is determined by a formula that can incorporate the employee's pay, years of employment, age at retirement, and other factors. A simple example is a Dollars Times Service plan design that provides a certain amount per month based on the time an employee works for a company. For example, a plan offering \$100 a month per year of service would provide \$3,000 per month to a retiree with 30 years of service. While this type of plan is popular among unionized workers, Final Average Pay (FAP) remains the most common type of defined benefit plan offered in the United States. In FAP plans, the average salary over the final years of an employee's career determines the benefit amount.



Averaging salary over a number of years means that the calculation is averaging different dollars. For example, if salary is averaged over five years, and retirement is in 2006, then salary in 2001 dollars is averaged with salary in 2002 dollars, etc., with 2001 dollars being worth more than the dollars of succeeding years. The pension is then paid in first year of retirement dollars, in this example 2006 dollars, with the lowest value of any dollars in the calculation. Thus inflation in the salary averaging years has a considerable impact on purchasing power and cost, both being reduced equally by inflation.

This effect of inflation can be eliminated by converting salaries in the averaging years to first year of retirement dollars, and then averaging.

In the US, 26 U.S.C. § 414(j) specifies a defined benefit plan to be any pension plan that is not a defined contribution plan (see below) where a defined contribution plan is any plan with individual accounts. A traditional pension plan that defines a benefit for an employee upon that employee's retirement is a defined benefit plan. In the U.S., corporate defined benefit plans, along with many other types of defined benefit plans, are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA).^[11]

In the United Kingdom, benefits are typically indexed for inflation (known as Retail Prices Index (RPI)) as required by law for registered pension plans.^[12] Inflation during an employee's retirement affects the purchasing power of the pension; the higher the inflation rate, the lower the purchasing power of a fixed annual pension. This effect can be mitigated by providing annual increases to the pension at the rate of inflation (usually capped, for instance at 5% in any given year). This method is advantageous for the employee since it stabilizes the purchasing power of pensions to some extent.

If the pension plan allows for early retirement, payments are often reduced to recognize that the retirees will receive the payouts for longer periods of time. In the United States, under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, any reduction factor less than or equal to the actuarial early retirement reduction factor is acceptable.^[13]

Many DB plans include early retirement provisions to encourage employees to retire early, before the attainment of normal retirement age (usually age 65). Companies would rather hire younger employees at lower wages. Some of those provisions come in the form of additional temporary or supplemental benefits, which are payable to a certain age, usually before attaining normal retirement age.^[14]

Due to changes in pensions over the years, many pension systems, including those in Alabama, California, Indiana, and New York, have shifted to a tiered system.^[15] For a simplified example, suppose there are three employees that pay into a state pension system: Sam, Veronica, and Jessica. The state pension system has three tiers: Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III. These three tiers are based on the employee's hire date (i.e. Tier I covers 1 January 1980 (and before) to 1 January 1995, Tier II 2 January 1995 to 1 January 2010, and Tier III 1 January 2010 to present) and have different benefit provisions (e.g. Tier I employees can retire at age 50 with 80% benefits or wait until 55 with full benefits, Tier II employees can retire at age 55 with 80% benefits or wait until 60 for full benefits, Tier III employees can retire at age 65 with full benefits). Therefore, Sam, hired in June 1983, would be subject to the provisions of the Tier I scheme, whereas Veronica, hired in August 1995, would be permitted to retire at age 60 with full benefits and Jessica, hired in December 2014, would not be able to retire with full benefits until she became 65.

DB funding

In an unfunded defined benefit pension, no assets are set aside and the benefits are paid for by the employer or other pension sponsor as and when they are paid. Pension arrangements provided by the state in most countries in the world are unfunded, with benefits paid directly from current workers' contributions and taxes. This method of financing is known as pay-as-you-go, or PAYGO.^[16] The social security systems of many European countries are unfunded,^[17] having benefits paid directly out of current taxes and social security contributions, although several countries have hybrid systems which are partially funded. Spain set up the Social Security Reserve Fund and France set up the Pensions Reserve Fund; in Canada the wage-based retirement plan (CPP) is partially funded, with assets managed by the CPP Investment Board while the U.S. Social Security system is partially funded by investment in special U.S. Treasury Bonds.

In a funded plan, contributions from the employer, and sometimes also from plan members, are invested in a fund towards meeting the benefits. All plans must be funded in some way, even if they are pay-as-you-go, so this type of plan is more accurately known as pre-funded or fully-funded. The future returns on the investments, and the future benefits to be paid, are not known in advance, so there is no guarantee that a given level of contributions will be enough to meet the benefits. Typically, the contributions to be paid are regularly reviewed in a valuation of the plan's assets and liabilities, carried out by an actuary to ensure that the pension fund will meet future payment obligations. This means that in a defined benefit pension, investment risk and investment rewards are typically assumed by the sponsor/employer and

not by the individual. If a plan is not well-funded, the plan sponsor may not have the financial resources to continue funding the plan.

DB criticisms

Traditional defined benefit plan designs (because of their typically flat accrual rate and the decreasing time for interest discounting as people get closer to retirement age) tend to exhibit a J-shaped accrual pattern of benefits, where the present value of benefits grows quite slowly early in an employee's career and accelerates significantly in mid-career: in other words it costs more to fund the pension for older employees than for younger ones (an "age bias"). Defined benefit pensions tend to be less portable than defined contribution plans, even if the plan allows a lump sum cash benefit at termination. Most plans, however, pay their benefits as an annuity, so retirees do not bear the risk of low investment returns on contributions or of outliving their retirement income. The open-ended nature of these risks to the employer is the reason given by many employers for switching from defined benefit to defined contribution plans over recent years. The risks to the employer can sometimes be mitigated by discretionary elements in the benefit structure, for instance in the rate of increase granted on accrued pensions, both before and after retirement.

The age bias, reduced portability and open ended risk make defined benefit plans better suited to large employers with less mobile workforces, such as the public sector (which has open-ended support from taxpayers). This coupled with a lack of foresight on the employers part means a large proportion of the workforce are kept in the dark over future investment schemes.

Defined benefit plans are sometimes criticized as being paternalistic as they enable employers or plan trustees to make decisions about the type of benefits and family structures and lifestyles of their employees. However they are typically more valuable than defined contribution plans in most circumstances and for most employees (mainly because the employer tends to pay higher contributions than under defined contribution plans), so such criticism is rarely harsh.

The "cost" of a defined benefit plan is not easily calculated, and requires an actuary or actuarial software. However, even with the best of tools, the cost of a defined benefit plan will always be an estimate based on economic and financial assumptions. These assumptions include the average retirement age and lifespan of the employees, the returns to be earned by the pension plan's investments and any additional taxes or levies, such as those required by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation in the U.S. So, for this arrangement, the benefit is relatively secure but the contribution is uncertain even when estimated by a professional. This has serious cost considerations and risks for the employer offering a pension plan.

One of the growing concerns with defined benefit plans is that the level of future obligations will outpace the value of assets held by the plan. This "underfunding" dilemma can be faced by any type of defined benefit plan, private or public, but it is most acute in governmental and other public plans where political pressures and less rigorous accounting standards can result in excessive commitments to employees and retirees, but inadequate contributions. Many states and municipalities across the United States of America and Canada now face chronic pension crises.^{[1][18][19]}

DB examples

Many countries offer state-sponsored retirement benefits, beyond those provided by employers, which are funded by payroll or other taxes. In the United States, the Social Security system is similar in function to a defined benefit pension arrangement, albeit one that is constructed differently from a pension offered by a private employer; however, Social Security is distinct in that there is no legally guaranteed level of benefits derived from the amount paid into the program.

Individuals that have worked in the UK and have paid certain levels of national insurance deductions can expect an income from the state pension scheme after their normal retirement. The state pension is currently divided into two parts: the basic state pension, State Second [tier] Pension scheme called S2P. Individuals will qualify for the basic state pension if they have completed sufficient years contribution to their national insurance record. The S2P pension scheme is earnings related and depends on earnings in each year as to how much an individual can expect to receive. It is possible for an individual to forgo the S2P payment from the state, in lieu of a payment made to an appropriate pension scheme of their choice, during their working life. For more details see UK pension provision.

Defined contribution plans

A defined contribution (DC) plan, is a pension plan where employers set aside a certain proportion (i.e. contributions) of a worker's earnings (such as 5%) in an investment account, and the worker receives this savings and any accumulated investment earnings upon retirement.^[20] These contributions are paid into an individual account for each member. The contributions are invested, for example in the stock market, and the returns on the investment (which may be positive or negative) are credited to the individual's account. On retirement, the member's account is used to provide retirement benefits, sometimes through the purchase of an annuity which then provides a regular income. Defined contribu-

tion plans have become widespread all over the world in recent years, and are now the dominant form of plan in the private sector in many countries. For example, the number of defined benefit plans in the US has been steadily declining, as more and more employers see pension contributions as a large expense avoidable by disbanding the defined benefit plan and instead offering a defined contribution plan.

Money contributed can either be from employee salary deferral or from employer contributions. The portability of defined contribution pensions is legally no different from the portability of defined benefit plans. However, because of the cost of administration and ease of determining the plan sponsor's liability for defined contribution plans (you do not need to pay an actuary to calculate the lump sum equivalent that you do for defined benefit plans) in practice, defined contribution plans have become generally portable.

In a defined contribution plan, investment risk and investment rewards are assumed by each individual/employee/retiree and not by the sponsor/employer, and these risks may be substantial.^[21] In addition, participants do not necessarily purchase annuities with their savings upon retirement, and bear the risk of outliving their assets. (In the United Kingdom, for instance, it is a legal requirement to use the bulk of the fund to purchase an annuity.)

The "cost" of a defined contribution plan is readily calculated, but the benefit from a defined contribution plan depends upon the account balance at the time an employee is looking to use the assets. So, for this arrangement, the contribution is known but the benefit is unknown (until calculated).

Despite the fact that the participant in a defined contribution plan typically has control over investment decisions, the plan sponsor retains a significant degree of fiduciary responsibility over investment of plan assets, including the selection of investment options and administrative providers.

A defined contribution plan typically involves a number of service providers, including in many cases:

- Trustee
- Custodian
- Administrator
- Recordkeeper
- Auditor
- Legal counsel^[22]
- Investment management company

DC examples

In the United States, the legal definition of a defined contribution plan is a plan providing for an individual account for each participant, and for benefits based solely on the amount contributed to the account, plus or minus income, gains, expenses and losses allocated to the account (see 26 U.S.C. § 414(i)). Examples of defined contribution plans in the United States include individual retirement accounts (IRAs) and 401(k) plans. In such plans, the employee is responsible, to one degree or another, for selecting the types of investments toward which the funds in the retirement plan are allocated. This may range from choosing one of a small number of pre-determined mutual funds to selecting individual stocks or other securities. Most self-directed retirement plans are characterized by certain tax advantages, and some provide for a portion of the employee's contributions to be matched by the employer. In exchange, the funds in such plans may not be withdrawn by the investor prior to reaching a certain age—typically the year the employee reaches 59.5 years old (with a small number of exceptions)—without incurring a substantial penalty.

Advocates of defined contribution plans point out that each employee has the ability to tailor the investment portfolio to his or her individual needs and financial situation, including the choice of how much to contribute, if anything at all. However, others state that these apparent advantages could also hinder some workers who might not possess the financial savvy to choose the correct investment vehicles or have the discipline to voluntarily contribute money to retirement accounts.

In the US, defined contribution plans are subject to IRS limits on how much can be contributed, known as the section 415 limit. In 2009, the total deferral amount, including employee contribution plus employer contribution, was limited to \$49,000 or 100% of compensation, whichever is less. The employee-only limit in 2009 was \$16,500 with a \$5,500 catch-up. These numbers usually increase each year and are indexed to compensate for the effects of inflation. For 2015, the limits were raised to \$53,000 and \$18,000,^[23] respectively.

Examples of defined contribution pension schemes in other countries are, the UK's personal pensions and proposed National Employment Savings Trust (NEST), Germany's Riester plans, Australia's Superannuation system and New Zealand's KiwiSaver scheme. Individual pension savings plans also exist in Austria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Greece, Finland, Ireland, Netherlands, Slovenia and Spain^[24]

Risk sharing pensions

Many developed economies are moving beyond DB & DC Plans and are adopting a new breed of collective risk sharing schemes where plan members pool their contributions and to a greater or less extent share the investment and longevity risk.

There are multiple naming conventions for these plans reflecting the fact that the future payouts are a target or ambition of the plan sponsor rather than a guarantee, common naming conventions include:

- Defined Ambition Plans
- Target benefit plans
- Collective Defined Contribution Schemes
- Tontine Pensions

Risk sharing pension sponsor examples

- Canada: Healthcare of Ontario Pension Plan (HOOPP)
- US: State of Wisconsin Investment Board
- US: TIAA
- UK: Royal Mail Pension Fund
- Netherlands: Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP
- Denmark: Arbejdsmarkedets Tillægspension

Hybrid and cash balance plans

Hybrid plan designs combine the features of defined benefit and defined contribution plan designs.

A cash balance plan is a defined benefit plan made to appear as if it were a defined contribution plan. They have notional balances in hypothetical accounts where, typically, each year the plan administrator will contribute an amount equal to a certain percentage of each participant's salary; a second contribution, called interest credit, is made as well. These are not actual contributions and further discussion is beyond the scope of this entry suffice it to say that there is currently much controversy. In general, they are usually treated as defined benefit plans for tax, accounting and regulatory purposes. As with defined benefit plans, investment risk in hybrid designs is largely borne by the plan sponsor. As with defined contribution designs, plan benefits are expressed in the terms of a notional account balance, and are usually paid as cash balances upon termination of employment. These features make them more portable than traditional defined benefit plans and perhaps more attractive to a more highly mobile workforce.

Target benefit plans are defined contribution plans made to match (or resemble) defined benefit plans.

Contrasting types of retirement plans

Advocates of defined contribution plans point out that each employee has the ability to tailor the investment portfolio to his or her individual needs and financial situation, including the choice of how much to contribute, if anything at all. However, others state that these apparent advantages could also hinder some workers who might not possess the financial savvy to choose the correct investment vehicles or have the discipline to voluntarily contribute money to retirement accounts. This debate parallels the discussion currently^[when?] going on in the U.S., where many Republican leaders favor transforming the Social Security system, at least in part, to a self-directed investment plan.

Financing

Defined contribution pensions, by definition, are funded, as the "guarantee" made to employees is that specified (defined) contributions will be made during an individual's working life.

There are many ways to finance a pension and save for retirement. Pension plans can be set up by an employer, matching a monetary contribution each month, by the state or personally through a pension scheme with a financial institution, such as a bank or brokerage firm. Pension plans often come with a tax break depending on the country and plan type.

For example, Canadians have the option to open a registered retirement savings plan (RRSP), as well as a range of employee and state pension programs. This plan allows contributions to this account to be marked as un-taxable income and remain un-taxed until withdrawal. Most countries' governments will provide advice on pension schemes.¹



Financing structure

Social and state pensions depend largely upon legislation for their sustainability. Some have identified funds, but these hold essentially government bonds—a form of "IOU" by the state which may rank no higher than the state's promise to pay future pensions.^[25]

Occupational pensions are typically provided through employment agreements between workers and employers, and their financing structure must meet legislative requirements. In common-law jurisdictions, the law requires that pensions be pre-funded in trusts, with a range of requirements to ensure the trustees act in the best interests of the beneficiaries. These jurisdictions account for over 80% of assets held by private pension plans around the world.^[26] Of the \$50.7 trillion of global assets in 2019, \$32.2T were in U.S. plans, the next largest being the U.K. (\$3.2T), Canada (\$2.8T), Australia (\$1.9T), Singapore (\$0.3T), Hong Kong and Ireland (each roughly \$0.2T), New Zealand, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Jamaica, etc.

Civil-law jurisdictions with statutory trust vehicles for pensions include the Netherlands (\$1.8T), Japan (\$1.7T), Switzerland (\$1.1T), Denmark (\$0.8T), Sweden, Brazil and S. Korea (each \$0.5T), Germany, France, Israel, P.R. China, Mexico, Italy, Chile, Belgium, Spain and Finland (each roughly \$0.2T), etc. Without the vast body of common law to draw upon, statutory trusts tend to be more uniform and tightly regulated.

However, pension assets alone are not a useful guide to the total distribution of occupational pensions around the world. It will be noted that four of the largest economies (Germany, France, Italy and Spain) have very little in the way of pension assets. Nevertheless, in terms of typical net income replacement in retirement, these countries rank well relative to those with pension assets.^[27] These and other countries represent a fundamentally different approach to pension provision, often referred to as "intergenerational solidarity".^[28]

Intergenerational solidarity operates to an extent in any country with a defined-benefit social security system, but is more controversial when applied to high levels of professional income. Why should younger generations pay for executive pensions which they themselves are unsure of collecting? Employers have sought ways of getting round this problem through pre-funding, but in civil-law countries have often been limited by the legal vehicles available. A suitable legal vehicle should ideally have three qualities:

- It should convince employees that the assets are truly secured for their benefit;
- Contributions to the vehicle should be tax-deductible to the employer (or at least, a tax deduction should be secured already), and
- To the extent that it has funded the pension liability, the employer may reduce the liability shown on its balance sheet.^{[29][30][31]}

In the absence of appropriate statute, attempts have been made to invent suitable vehicles with varying degrees of success. The most notable has been in Germany where, until the end of the 20th century, most occupational pensions were unfunded ("book-reserved") promises by employers. Changes started in 1983^{[32][33][34]} and by 1993 the preamble to proposed regulations under Section 404A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code stated (when discussing deductible contributions to the German equivalent of a trust):

...the Security Contract combines a book reserve commitment by an employer with a pledge and guaranty. First, an employer establishes a book reserve for its pension liabilities for which it receives a deduction under German law. It then establishes a wholly-owned subsidiary to which it transfers assets to fund its pension liabilities... [T]he subsidiary pledges its assets irrevocably to a custodian who then gives a guaranty to the employees to pay the benefits up to the assets pledged to the custodian in the event an employer declares bankruptcy or goes into receivership. The custodian's guaranty is intended to place a prior lien on the assets pledged and protect them from the claims of the employer's creditors in the event of bankruptcy or receivership.^{[35][36][37][38][39]}

In December 2000, a magazine article described:

...an artificial legal construction which, via a series of legal pledges between the employer, the employee and a separate custodian of the created pension fund, makes it possible to overcome the limitations of German law and establish what is effectively an Anglo Saxon-style trust. This is a model which has created a great deal of interest in Germany, and several big name employers have already adopted a similar approach to enable unrestricted funding of their occupational pension schemes. Examples include Shell, Daimler-Chrysler and Hewlett Packard.^[40]

As of August 2021, a major accounting firm describes what it calls the "market standard":

...the standard instrument for the (out-) financing of pension commitments... From the employer's point of view, this primarily serves the purpose of taking pension provisions off the balance sheet but also the purpose of ensuring insolvency protection under private law of those pension claims that are not covered by the statutory insolvency protec-

tion.... In practice, so-called double-sided CTAs have become market standard, at the latest since the judgement of the German Federal Labour Court (Bundesarbeitsgericht, BAG) of 18 July 2013 (6 AZR 47/12). In this ruling, the BAG assumed the resistance to insolvency of a double-sided CTA when it comes to the insolvency protection of credit balances of employees from part-time employment relationships with older employees. The double-sided CTA comprises the trustee's administrative trust relationship with the employer for the administration of the trust assets as well as the security trust relationship between the trustee and the pension beneficiary, which is aimed at fulfilling the company pension commitment in the defined security cases. The outsourcing of pension provisions for accounting purposes requires that the trust assets which the trustor contributes to the CTA—depending on the applicability of German GAAP/HGB or IFRS accounting approach—meet the balance sheet requirements as netting cover assets (section 246 (2) sentence 2 HGB) or as netting plan assets (IAS 19.8)... The... trust assets contributed to the CTA by the trustor may only be used to meet the claims of the beneficiaries.^[41]

It therefore seems fair to say that first tax deductions, then favorable accounting treatment, spurred innovation in pension-financing structure which secured beneficiaries' rights, quite independently of government initiative.^[42] Similar developments have occurred elsewhere, but the large scale of the German pension assets involved (not officially measured but at least in the several tens of billion dollars) make its story unique.

Current challenges

Population ageing

A growing challenge for many nations is population ageing. As birth rates drop and life expectancy increases an ever-larger portion of the population is elderly. This leaves fewer workers for each retired person. In many developed countries this means that government and public sector pensions could potentially be a drag on their economies unless pension systems are reformed or taxes are increased. One method of reforming the pension system is to increase the retirement age. Two exceptions are Australia and Canada, where the pension system is forecast to be solvent for the foreseeable future.¹ In Canada, for instance, the annual payments were increased by some 70% in 1998 to achieve this. These two nations also have an advantage from their relative openness to immigration: immigrants tend to be of working age. However, their populations are not growing as fast as the U.S., which supplements a high immigration rate with one of the highest birthrates among Western countries. Thus, the population in the U.S. is not ageing to the extent as those in Europe, Australia, or Canada.

Underfunding

Another growing challenge is the recent trend of states and businesses in the United States purposely under-funding their pension schemes in order to push the costs onto the federal government. For example, in 2009, the majority of states have unfunded pension liabilities exceeding all reported state debt. Bradley Belt, former executive director of the PBGC (the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, the federal agency that insures private-sector defined-benefit pension plans in the event of bankruptcy), testified before a Congressional hearing in October 2004, "I am particularly concerned with the temptation, and indeed, growing tendency, to use the pension insurance fund as a means to obtain an interest-free and risk-free loan to enable companies to restructure. Unfortunately, the current calculation appears to be that shifting pension liabilities onto other premium payers or potentially taxpayers is the path of least resistance rather than a last resort."

Challenges have further been increased by the post-2007 credit crunch. Total funding of the nation's 100 largest corporate pension plans fell by \$303bn in 2008, going from a \$86bn surplus at the end of 2007 to a \$217bn deficit at the end of 2008.^[66]

Gender Gap

The inequality between men and women in terms of pensions is a significant issue all around the world.

In this sense, the European Union and OECD countries are not an exception. As per a 2015 report by the European Commission the average pension gender gap in the EU28 was 40.2% in 2014. This means that men aged 65–74 on average receive pensions that are 40.2% higher than those of women in the same age group. This gap is much larger than the gender pay gap (16.1%) and the annual earnings gender gap (23.0%) in 2014 and 2010, respectively. The countries with the highest pension gender gaps are Cyprus, Germany, the Netherlands, and Austria, and 14 EU member states have a pension gender gap of at least 30%. However, Eastern European countries have a smaller pension gender gap due to less pronounced gender differences in part-time work usage.^[67] In OECD countries, women aged 65 and over receive around 74% of men's retirement income from both public and private pension sources. The gender pension gap in OECD countries varies from 3% in Estonia to 47% in Japan.^[68] Despite some progress in some countries over the years, the gender pension gap remains a major issue in many nations.



As for the possible causes of the pension gender gap phenomenon, it is likely that women are more affected due to gender segregation in the labour market (they tend to work in lower paid jobs or receive a lower salary) and the unequal division of care roles in households. In addition, women tend to be more dependent on basic pensions, which makes them more vulnerable to reductions in these kind of pensions in favour of occupational ones. Moreover, the fact that many current pension systems require a minimum of 40 years of work to build up occupational pensions or penalise part-time employment, together with the limited care services many of them offer for babies, means that women, because of the need to interrupt their working lives for maternity, are at a disadvantage compared to men when it comes to collecting pensions; which is aggravated by the fact that occupational pensions are gaining more and more weight compared to basic pensions in the current structure.^{[69][70]} Lower employment rates and the gender pay gap, due to lower wages and career breaks, are also factors contributing to the gender pension gap. Furthermore, individual and occupational pensions are often based on actuarial equity, which penalises women with gender-differentiated rates.^[71] Finally, cultural and behavioural factors, such as lack of access to education and gender expectations, can also contribute to the gender pay gap and the gender pension gap.^[72]

Challenges in the Upcoming Years

With the rise of new technologies such as artificial intelligence and automation, the nature of work is changing and in turn is likely to have an impact on pension plans. For example, workers in industries that are being automated may face greater job insecurity, which could make it more difficult for them to plan for retirement. At the same time, new technologies may also offer opportunities for pension plans to more effectively manage their investments and reduce costs.

Furthermore, as aforementioned population ageing causes many countries to face in providing sufficient pension benefits to their elderly citizens. This is particularly true in countries with rapidly aging populations, such as Japan.^[citation needed] In addition, declining birth rates can lead to a shrinking workforce, which may make it more difficult to fund pension plans in the future.

Economic uncertainty can also be a cause for worry in the near future. As of April 2022, the global economy has been volatile in recent years, and this can have a significant impact on pension plans. For example, low interest rates can make it more difficult for pension funds to generate returns on their investments, which can in turn lead to lower benefits for pensioners. In addition, economic downturns can lead to higher unemployment rates, which can result in lower contributions to pension plans. This recent period of economic uncertainty has seen a rise in self-employed workers.^[73] As such, the rise of gig economy and the increasing number of workers who are self-employed has made it more challenging to provide retirement benefits to a growing segment of the workforce due to the fact that many of these workers do not have access to employer-sponsored pension plans, making it more difficult for them to save for retirement.

In conclusion, pension plans face a number of challenges in the modern world, from demographic shifts to economic uncertainty to the rise of new technologies. These challenges can make it difficult for workers to plan for retirement and for pension plans to effectively manage their investments and provide benefits to retirees. However, there are also opportunities for pension plans to adapt to these changes and take advantage of new technologies and investment strategies. As the world continues to evolve, it will be important for pension plans to remain flexible and responsive to new challenges in order to provide retirement security for all workers.

Pillars

Most national pension systems are based on multi-pillar schemes to ensure greater flexibility and financial security to the old in contrast to reliance on one single system. In general, there are three main functions of pension systems: saving, redistribution and insurance functions. According to the report by the World Bank titled "Averting the Old Age Crisis", countries should consider separating the saving and redistributive functions, when creating pension systems, and placing them under different financing and managerial arrangements into three main pillars.

The Pillars of Old Age Income Security:^[74]

Properties	Mandatory publicly managed pillar	Mandatory privately managed pillar	Voluntary pillar
Financing	Tax-financed	Regulated fully funded	Fully funded
Form	Means-tested, minimum pension guarantee, or flat	Personal savings plan or occupational plan	Personal savings plan or occupational plan
Objectives	Redistributive plus coinsurance	Savings plus coinsurance	Savings plus coinsurance



However, this typology is rather a prescriptive than a descriptive one and most specialists usually allocate all public programmes to the first pillar, including earnings-related public schemes, which does not fit the original definition of the first pillar.^[75]

Zero pillar

This non-contributory pillar was introduced only recently, aiming to alleviate poverty among the elderly, and permitting fiscal conditions. It is usually financed by the state and is in form of basic pension schemes or social assistance.^{[76][77]} In some typologies, the zero and the first pillar overlap.^[75]

First pillar

Pillar 1, sometimes referred to as the public pillar or first-tier, answers the aim to prevent the poverty of the elderly, provide some absolute, minimum income based on solidarity and replace some portion of lifetime pre-retirement income. It is financed on a redistributive principle without constructing large reserves and takes the form of mandatory contributions linked to earnings such as minimum pensions within earnings-related plans, or separate targeted programs for retirement income. These are provided by the public sector and typically financed on pay-as-you-go basis.

Second pillar

Pillar 2, or the second tier, built on the basis of defined benefit and defined contribution plans with independent investment management, aims to protect the elderly from relative poverty and provides benefits supplementary to the income from the first pillar to contributors.^[76] Therefore, the second pillar fulfils the insurance function. In addition to DB's and DC's, other types of pension schemes of the second pillar are the contingent accounts, known also as Notional Defined Contributions (implemented for example in Italy, Latvia, Poland and Sweden) or occupational pension schemes (applied, for instance, in Estonia, Germany and Norway).^[76]

Third pillar

The third tier consists of voluntary contributions in various different forms, including occupational or private saving plans, and products for individuals.

Fourth Pillar

The fourth pillar is usually excluded from classifications since it does not usually have a legal basis and consists of "informal support (such as family), other formal social programs (such as health care or housing), and other individual assets (such as home ownership and reverse mortgages)."^{[77][78]}

These five pillars and their main criteria are summarised in the table below by Holzmann and Hinz.

Multipillar Pension Taxonomy:^[77]

Pillar	Objectives	Characteristics	Participation
0	Elderly poverty protection	"Basic" or "social pension", at least social assistance, universal or means-tested	Universal or residual
1	Elderly poverty protection and consumption smoothing	Public pension plan, publicly managed, defined benefit or notional defined contribution	Mandated
2	Consumption smoothing and elderly poverty protection through minimum pension	Occupational or personal pension plans, fully funded defined benefit or fully funded defined contribution	Mandated
3	Consumption smoothing	Occupational or personal pension plans, partially or fully funded defined benefit or funded defined contribution	Voluntary
4	Elderly poverty protection and consumption smoothing	Access to informal (e.g. family support), other formal social programs (e.g. health) and other individual financial and nonfinancial assets (e.g. homeownership)	Voluntary

Different channels for Governments to finance the retirement pension

Government can play with four different channels to finance the retirement pension. These economic policies are the following ones:^[79]

- Decrease of real pensions,

- Increase of employee social contribution,
- Increase of employer social contribution,
- Increase of the retirement age.

These channels have been used by many governments to implement new retirement pension reforms. In the past, they had been sometimes simultaneously used (two or three channels used in the same time for a pension reform) or with a targeted way (on a certain group of persons such as in a certain business sector).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Retirement pensions turn out to be considerable amounts of money. For instance, in France, it is about 300 billion euros each year, namely 14-15% of French GDP. It is therefore very interesting and informative to illustrate the impacts of these different channels to finance the retirement pension, especially nowadays since many riots take place in different countries against new retirement pension reforms or willing to change the national retirement pension process.^[80]

Simulating these economic policies is then useful to understand every mechanism linked to these channels. Four different channels to finance retirement pensions will be simulated successively and will allow to explain their impacts on main economic variables presented below with an eight-year horizon. Some software of macroeconomic simulation allows to compute and display them. The implementation of these economic shocks and their mechanisms will be analysed in the following sections.^[81]

The economic variables of interest are various but the main ones can be chosen as follows: GDP level (impact in %), total employment level (impact in %), price index (impact in %), price growth rate (impact in points), current account (impact in GDP points), public finance balance (impact in GDP points) An objective relation may also be used. This one is a linear combination of the previous variables. This one is weighted according to the prominence given to some variables. For instance, if the government mainly focuses on GDP level and public finance balance, their assigned coefficient can be chosen as 0.3 each (the sum of the coefficients must be equal to 1). Other more specific variables could be used such as: household expenses level, corporate investments level, domestic demand level, purchasing power level and the like.

As a consequence, simulations are very relevant for everyone to understand the impacts of these channels to finance the retirement pension. However, simulations could be used in a reverse manner. Given the objective of government to get an economic variable improvement by a certain number, the four channels can be adjusted in order to achieve this goal. For example, a government may have an objective to get a public finance improvement by 2/3 GDP point the year 8.

In order to lead these simulations, the choice of hypotheses assumed is crucial. Concerning exchange rates, one can use the Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) measurement which happens to be an absolute purchasing power comparison in the countries concerned. Regarding interest rates, one can choose the Taylor Rule with a risk premium coefficient of -0.1. External trade price elasticities can also be assumed to be -1. When it comes to Monetary Union, it depends on which country the study and thus the simulations are led. A Monetary Union can be concerned with any country. However, in order to get consistent results, a country such as Germany, France or Italy could be analysed. In this case, the monetary union is established with other European countries members of the euro area. Finally, every economic policy is led in the country concerned only.

Decrease of real pensions

This economic shock is to permanently decrease the amount of real pensions paid to retirees by for example 1 GDP point. Transfers from public power to households are therefore dropped by 1 GDP point. In the case of France (given 14–15% of GDP corresponds to retirement pensions), this is a drop of 7.5% of mass pension benefits.

It proves to be demand shock insofar as the household's available income decreases in the short term. This drop of purchasing power implies a diminishment of consumption and of demand in general. The activity is then negatively affected. However, the current account is improved as imports decrease following the reduce of domestic demand. In the medium term, since this cut of consumption and demand, unemployment increases. The price index decreases as the consumption price drops. As a consequence, exports increase. The real labour cost falls increasing thus companies' margins which limits the degradation of investments. The drop of consumption remains higher than the increase of current account which thereby results in the decrease of GDP. The public finance balance increases following the diminishment of pension benefits spent to retirees. However, unemployment benefits increase and given the drop of consumption and of household's incomes, which implies a fall in the incomes received from income tax and VAT by public administration.^[81]

Increase of employee social contribution

This economic shock involves the permanent increase of employee social contribution by for instance 2 points. This social contribution is spent by household as a share of mass wages received by them.

It turns out to be a demand shock because household's disposable income decreases from the short term. Indeed, the income perceived by employees is reduced following the increase of employee social contribution. As the previous channel, the drop of purchasing power result in a diminishment of consumption and demand in general. It implies a drop in activity. However, the current account is improved as imports are reduced following the cut of interior demand. In the medium term, the implications are similar to the decrease of real pensions. Employment and the price index decrease. Exports increase and the drop of investments is limited. The GDP decreases too. Finally, in the short term, the public finance balance increases but is quickly limited (but remains an increase) with the decrease of revenues from VAT and income taxes and the increase of unemployment.^[81]

Increase of employer social contribution

This economic shock is to permanently increase employer social contribution by for instance 2 points. This social contribution is spent by employer as a share of mass wages paid to each employee.

It proves to be a supply economic shock. Indeed, the rise of the labour cost degrades the labour demand and increases the costs of production. The competitiveness is degraded and results in the drop of the purchasing power. Job losses are then attended: the unemployment strongly increases. This shock is also inflationary given that household's consumption prices rise. As corporations' profitability drops, exports and companies' investment fall too. The current account drops and this shock is not expansionist: the GDP decreases. Finally, the public finance balance is improved but less than planned. Indeed, employer social contribution is increased but it happens to be less than expected as unemployment rises. In addition, income tax is lower than before the shock, employee social contribution increases and unemployment benefits expenses increase.^[81]

Increase of the retirement age

This economic shock involves an increase of the retirement age. To do so, it implies a permanent increase in the working age of for instance 2% and to decrease the number of retirees of an equivalent amount. For this last step, it is tantamount to decrease global real pensions by a certain number of GDP point. In order to find this precise number for the simulation, we can assume people live on average 80 years, study during 20 years and are retirees during 20 years. As a consequence, an increase of 2% for life expectancy at work amounts to a decrease of 4% for life expectancy in retirement. Real pensions make globally a certain percentage of the GDP according to the country chosen. By knowing it, you can finally find the certain number of GDP point to simulate the decrease of number of retirees. For instance, in France real pensions make globally around 15% of GDP. Finally, -4% of 15% makes a decrease of 0.6 of GDP point.

In the short term, this labour force shock (supply policy) leads to an increase of unemployment which negatively affects household's purchasing power. The consumption decreases along with demand in general which leads to a decrease of activity. However, the current account is improved as imports are reduced with the drop of domestic demand. In the medium term, through the rise of unemployment, gross salary and the real labour cost progressively decreases. It results in the progressive increase of employment and thus the gradual decrease of unemployment. The household's consumption prices decrease: this shock is deflationary. The competitiveness is improved which lead to a job creation and the boost of economic activity. The GDP increases and this shock is therefore expansionist. Administration's financing capacity improved in the short term happens to be limited in the medium term. Indeed, the drop of prices decreases the tax bases, especially household income.^[81]

REFERENCES

1. "World Economic Outlook Database, April 2019". IMF.org. International Monetary Fund. Retrieved 9 April 2019.
2. ^ "India Unemployment Rate". World Bank. World Bank. Retrieved 25 May 2019.
3. ^ Anandaram, K; Dubhashi, Medha (1999). "Role of cooperatives in social development". *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*. 35 (1): 108–113 – via JSTOR.
4. ^ Sandhu, Navjot; Hussain, Javed; Matlay, Harry (26 October 2012). "Barriers to finance experienced by female owner/managers of marginal farms in India". *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. 19 (4): 640–655. doi:10.1108/14626001211277442. ISSN 1462-6004.
5. ^ Tiwari, Sanjay (2015). "Managing Transformation of Rural India through Rural Non-Farm Economy". *Journal of Rural and Industrial Development*. 3 (2). doi:10.21863/jrid/2015.3.2.010. ISSN 2321-1873.
6. ^ Exploring rural enterprises : new perspectives on research, policy & practice. Henry, Colette., McElwee, Gerard. Bingley, U.K.: Emerald. 2014. ISBN 9781784411091. OCLC 888215615.



7. ^{^ a b c d e f g h i j k} Anandaram, K; Dubhashi, Medha (1999). "Role of cooperatives in social development". *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*. 35 (1): 108–113 – via JSTOR.
8. ^{^ a b} Rutten, Mario (2001). "Family Enterprises and Business Partnerships: Rural Entrepreneurs in India, Malaysia, and Indonesia". *The Journal of Entrepreneurship*. 10 (2): 165–189. doi:10.1177/097135570101000203. ISSN 0971-3557. S2CID 154562615.
9. [^] "India: Significant Employment and Enterprise Thrust in Rural Areas". sydney.primo.exlibrisgroup.com. Retrieved 15 May 2019.
10. [^] Growth, disparities and inclusive development in India : perspectives from the Indian State of Uttar Pradesh. Mangain, R. P. (Rajendra P.). Singapore. 11 April 2019. ISBN 9789811364433. OCLC 1097183522.
11. [^] Kashyap, Pradeep (2012). "The Rural Boom in India". *International Journal of Rural Management*. 8 (1–2): 133–141. doi:10.1177/0973005212462117. ISSN 0973-0052. S2CID 155297527.
12. ^{^ a b c} Growth, disparities and inclusive development in India : perspectives from the Indian state of Uttar Pradesh. Mangain, R. P. (Rajendra P.). Singapore. 11 April 2019. ISBN 9789811364433. OCLC 1097183522.
13. ^{^ a b} Firdaus, G. (2012). "Agricultural diversification for rural development. A case study of Ambedkar Nagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India". *Spanish Journal of Rural Development*. doi:10.5261/2012.gen3.05. ISSN 2171-1216.
14. [^] Dubey, Parag (2007). "Sociocultural Factors and Enabling Policies for Non-timber Forest Products-based Micro-enterprise Development". *The Journal of Entrepreneurship*. 16 (2): 197–206. doi:10.1177/097135570701600204. ISSN 0971-3557. S2CID 153785994.
15. [^] Belcher, Brian; Achdiawan, Ramadhani; Dewi, Sonya (2015). "Forest-Based Livelihoods Strategies Conditioned by Market Remoteness and Forest Proximity in Jharkhand, India". *World Development*. 66: 269–279. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.023.
16. [^] Alam, Mohammed (2013). "Traditional Industries of India in the Globalised World". *Journal of Third World Studies*. 30 (2): 255–257 – via EBSCOhost.
17. ^{^ a b} Kar, Surjit Kumar (22 June 2012). "Knowledge process of rural handloom community enterprise: A narrative study of Sambalpuri Bastralaya in India". *Society and Business Review*. 7 (2): 114–133. doi:10.1108/17465681211237592. ISSN 1746-5680.
18. [^] Gandhi, R. (1974). "The caste-joint family axis of Hindu social system, the ethos of Hindu culture and the formation of Hindu personality". *Sociologus*. 24 (1): 56–64.
19. [^] Desai, I. P. (1956). "The Joint Family in India—An Analysis". *Sociological Bulletin*. 5 (2): 144–156. doi:10.1177/0038022919560206. ISSN 0038-0229. S2CID 157572197.
20. [^] Misra, Harekrishna (2008). "Prospects and challenges in implementing e-business strategies for rural enterprises: A case of dairy cooperative in India". 2008 4th IEEE International Conference on Management of Innovation and Technology. pp. 486–491. doi:10.1109/icmit.2008.4654413. ISBN 978-1-4244-2329-3. S2CID 8243882.
21. [^] Chand, Digambar; Gartia, Rajendra (2016). "DIVERGENCE OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SECURITY- A STUDY ON WESTERN ODISHA". *International Journal of Food and Agricultural Economics*. 4 (1): 87–108 – via ProQuest.
22. ^{^ a b} Misra, Biswa Swarup, 1975– (2010). *Credit cooperatives in India : past, present and future*. London: Routledge. ISBN 9780415566995. OCLC 441140946.
23. ^{^ a b c d e} Lombe, Margaret; Newransky, Chrisann; Kayser, Karen; Raj, Paul (2012). "Exploring Barriers to Inclusion of Widowed and Abandoned Women through Microcredit Self-Help Groups: The Case of Rural South India". *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*. 39 (2): 143–162. ISSN 0191-5096 – via ProQuest.
24. ^{^ a b} Larson, Grant; Drolet, Julie; Samuel, Miriam (2015). "The role of self-help groups in post-tsunami rehabilitation". *International Social Work*. 58 (5): 732–742. doi:10.1177/0020872813477880. ISSN 0020-8728. S2CID 143775189.
25. ^{^ a b} Sankaran, V (2018). "Entrepreneurship for Women Self Help Groups". *Sumedha Journal of Management*. 7 (2): 102–107 – via ProQuest.



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| Mobile No: +91-9940572462 | Whatsapp: +91-9940572462 | ijarasem@gmail.com |

www.ijarasem.com