



**International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts,  
Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM )**

**Volume 11, Issue 3, May-June 2024**



**INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA**

**IMPACT FACTOR: 7.583**



# Exploration of Social Pressure and Psychological Conformity in George Orwell's Nineteen Eighty-Four and Ray Bradbury's Fahrenheit 451

**Pranjal Kaushal, Dr. Tanu Kashyap**

Student, Amity Institute of English Studies and Research, Amity University Noida, India

Associate Professor, Amity Institute of English Studies and Research, Amity University Noida, India

**ABSTRACT:** This research paper examines the portrayal of social pressure and psychological conformity in two seminal works of dystopian literature, George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Ray Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451." Drawing upon a combination of literary analysis, psychological theories, and historical context, the paper delves into the ways in which these iconic novels reflect and comment on societal norms, individual autonomy, and the manipulation of truth.

Through a comparative study, it explores the mechanisms through which authoritarian regimes exert control over their citizens, including surveillance, propaganda, and censorship.

Furthermore, the paper analyzes the psychological effects of living in oppressive societies, focusing on themes such as cognitive dissonance, groupthink, and the suppression of dissenting voices. By examining the interplay between power structures and individual agency, this research contributes to ongoing discussions surrounding authoritarianism, surveillance culture, and the resilience of the human spirit in the face of oppression.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Dystopian texts usually explore authoritarianism, power dynamics and the consequences of unchecked authority which provides the perfect backbone for studying social pressure and psychological conformity.

Within this genre, George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Ray Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451" emerge as seminal works that explore the depths of human nature under the oppressive weight of authoritarian regimes.

At the heart of these narratives lies a profound examination of social pressure and psychological conformity, themes that resonate with enduring relevance in contemporary discourse on individual freedom and societal control.

Also, both the texts showcase futures where the government controls components of people's lives which we can interpret as a symbolism for how the society also subconsciously forces us to conform with the ideas of the majority or the norm currently.

Published in 1949, George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" presents a chilling vision of a totalitarian society ruled by the omnipotent Party and its enigmatic leader, Big Brother. Set in Oceania, a dystopian superstate, the novel depicts a world where individual autonomy is sacrificed at the altar of state control, and truth itself becomes a malleable instrument of power.

Orwell's exploration of surveillance, propaganda, and psychological manipulation remains a haunting reflection on the perils of authoritarianism and the erosion of individual freedom.

Ray Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451," published in 1953, offers a parallel vision of dystopia, albeit one shaped by the suppression of intellectual freedom and the commodification of culture.

Through the character of Guy Montag, a fireman tasked with burning books, Bradbury illuminates the transformative power of knowledge and the enduring quest for human connection amidst a society in thrall to conformity.

At the core of both "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451" lies an exploration of social pressure and psychological conformity as mechanisms of social control.

Through the lens of dystopian fiction, Orwell and Bradbury interrogate the human capacity for resistance in the face of



oppression, probing the depths of individual identity amidst the suffocating embrace of conformity.

This seminar paper seeks to undertake a comprehensive examination of social pressure and psychological conformity in Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451." By delving into the narrative intricacies, thematic nuances, and character dynamics of these seminal works, this paper aims to illuminate the ways in which dystopian literature serves as a mirror to contemporary society, reflecting our collective anxieties and aspirations in the crucible of totalitarianism.

The primary purpose of the paper is to conduct a rigorous analytical inquiry into the thematic elements of social pressure and psychological conformity present in both novels.

Through critical examination and interpretation, the paper seeks to unravel the complexities of these themes, elucidating their implications for individual identity, societal norms, and human behaviour.

Another objective is to conduct a comparative study of how Orwell and Bradbury depict social pressure and conformity within their respective dystopian worlds. By juxtaposing the narratives, characters, and thematic motifs of "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451," the paper aims to discern similarities, differences, and underlying philosophical underpinnings.

The scope of the paper also encompasses a detailed literary analysis of "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451," focusing on key thematic elements, character dynamics, narrative techniques, and symbolic imagery. Through close textual examination, the paper aims to uncover the layers of meaning embedded within the novels, exploring how social pressure and psychological conformity manifest in various facets of the narrative.

The paper also seeks to explore the thematic dimensions of social pressure and psychological conformity as they relate to broader philosophical questions of individual freedom, state control, and the nature of power.

By dissecting these themes, the paper aims to elucidate how Orwell and Bradbury use their respective dystopian settings to critique contemporary societal norms and challenge readers' perceptions of authority and autonomy.

## **II. HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL CONTEXT**

Understanding the historical and cultural contexts surrounding George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Ray Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451" is crucial for appreciating the themes of conformity and psychological pressure present in these seminal works of dystopian fiction.

Despite having different backgrounds and writing styles, Orwell and Bradbury shared a common commitment to challenging societal norms and provoking critical thought through their literary works. Orwell's searing political satire and Bradbury's imaginative storytelling continue to resonate with readers around the world, inspiring generations to question authority, defend individual freedom, and resist the forces of conformity and oppression.

Against this backdrop of historical upheaval, Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451" emerge as searing indictments of the human capacity for oppression and resistance, conformity and rebellion. Orwell's experiences during World War II, including his time serving in the Spanish Civil War and witnessing the rise of totalitarian regimes, deeply influenced his portrayal of authoritarianism and state control in the novel.

The novel also reflects Orwell's concerns about the proliferation of totalitarian ideologies and the erosion of individual freedoms in the aftermath of the war. The pervasive surveillance, propaganda, and thought control mechanisms depicted in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" draw parallels to Orwell's observations of authoritarian regimes such as Stalinist Russia and Nazi Germany.

Orwell's background as a democratic socialist and his disillusionment with Soviet communism informed his critique of totalitarianism and censorship in "Nineteen Eighty-Four." Hence, the novel serves as a cautionary tale about the dangers of unchecked government power and the manipulation of truth for political ends.

Set in the bleak confines of Oceania, a world devoid of individual autonomy and personal freedom, "Nineteen Eighty-Four" offers a chilling vision of a society ruled by the iron fist of the Party and its enigmatic leader, Big Brother. Against the backdrop of omnipresent surveillance, propaganda, and thought control, the novel's protagonist, Winston Smith, emerges as a reluctant hero, a symbol of resistance in a world consumed by conformity.





Bradbury's concerns about the conformity and censorship prevalent in American society during the Red Scare influenced his depiction of a dystopian future where books are banned and critical thinking is suppressed.

Hence, the novel reflects concerns about the dehumanizing effects of technological progress and the loss of genuine human connection in an increasingly digitized and fragmented society.

Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451," set in a future where books are banned and critical thought is condemned, offers a parallel exploration of societal conformity and intellectual repression.

In the dystopian landscape of Bradbury's imagination, conformity is enforced through mass media and mindless entertainment, numbing citizens to the realities of their existence. Through the eyes of protagonist Guy Montag, a fireman tasked with burning books, we witness the transformative power of knowledge and the enduring quest for human connection amidst a society in thrall to conformity.

Both novels depict societies where conformity is enforced through state control and surveillance, fostering a climate of fear and mistrust among citizens. The historical backdrop of totalitarianism and censorship in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and the cultural anxieties about conformity and mass media in "Fahrenheit 451" contribute to the exploration of themes related to psychological pressure and social conformity.

Against the backdrop of oppressive regimes, characters in both novels grapple with the psychological toll of conformity and the desire for individual autonomy. Orwell and Bradbury highlight the resilience of the human spirit in the face of adversity, exploring themes of resistance, rebellion, and the quest for truth and freedom.

At the heart of both novels lies a profound exploration of the human condition, a relentless quest for meaning and identity amidst the suffocating embrace of societal norms. From the internalized oppression of Winston Smith to the existential crisis of Guy Montag, we confront the psychological toll of conformity and the enduring quest for autonomy in the face of oppression.

By contextualizing their works within the broader historical and cultural milieu, the authors underscore the enduring relevance of their themes for contemporary discussions about power, freedom, and the human condition.

In summary, the historical and cultural contexts surrounding "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451" profoundly shape the exploration of themes related to conformity and psychological pressure in both novels.

By drawing upon their observations of real-world events and societal trends, Orwell and Bradbury create dystopian worlds that serve as cautionary tales about the dangers of authoritarianism and the importance of safeguarding individual freedoms in the face of oppression.

### **III. LITERARY REVIEW**

Both the novels explore themes such as conformity, censorship and the subsequent consequences of unchecked or uncontrolled government or authoritarian power. "Nineteen Eighty-Four" by George Orwell is a novel that is set in a dystopian future where the world is dominated by totalitarianism.

Winston's transformation into a loyal Party member is complete, and he becomes a symbol of the regime's absolute control over individual thought and identity. The novel explores how harmful or dangerous a totalitarian society can be where individual identity and autonomy has been completely erased by the manipulation of information or constant surveillance.

Utilitarian language is used and is very effective in portraying the oppressive nature of the Party-controlled society. Orwell also manages to capture the psychological toll that living in such a society can take on an individual through the character of Winston Smith, our protagonist, whose inner thoughts and rebellion against the Party form the core of the narrative.

"Fahrenheit 451" by Ray Bradbury also has pretty much the same interests at its heart as "Nineteen Eighty-Four" by George Orwell as the central theme of this novel also focuses on the consequences of censorship and the dangers of giving in or conforming to societal norms without any reasoning or questioning.

The novel also explores how a society or culture that suppresses the intellectual exploration of its individuals could

cause a dehumanizing effect on them. All the characters in the novel serve as vessels for exploring the effects and consequences of a society that suppresses intellectual freedom.

#### IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

In spite of sharing similar themes of censorship and societal control and belonging to the same genre that is dystopian fiction, "Fahrenheit 451" and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" differ a lot in their approach and focus.

Bradbury's novel revolves around censorship and intellectual freedom and explores a society where critical thinking is discouraged and books are banned while Orwell's novel deals with totalitarianism and state control and presents a dystopian world ruled by a totalitarian regime that monitors the lives of its citizens including their language, history and even thoughts.

In Bradbury's world, the government controls society by banning books and promoting mindless entertainment to keep the population docile. Intellectualism is suppressed, and critical thinking is discouraged, resulting in a society marked by conformity, shallowness, and the absence of genuine human connection.

On the other hand, Orwell's vision presents a totalitarian regime led by the Party, where absolute control is maintained through surveillance, propaganda, and psychological manipulation.

The Party seeks to eliminate independent thought, rewrite history, and control language through Newspeak, creating a society characterized by oppression, fear, and the eradication of personal autonomy. The theme revolves around the dangers of unchecked government power, the suppression of individual freedom, and the manipulation of truth.

Bradbury explores the themes of intellectual freedom, the power of knowledge, and the dangers of censorship. The novel delves into the dehumanizing impact of technology, the quest for genuine human connection, and the transformative power of literature and carries a message of resilience against oppressive forces and the potential for personal growth and enlightenment. The novel reflects on the fragility of memory, the psychological effects of oppression, and the struggle for truth in a world dominated by lies.

In "Fahrenheit 451", Guy Montag, who is the protagonist of the novel, undergoes a personal journey from conformity to rebellion and the novel focuses on his discovery of the power of literature and his quest for meaning while Orwell's narrative centres on Winston Smith's struggle against the oppressive party.

Also, Bradbury's novel serves as a critique of a society that is driven by instant gratification and mindless entertainment and warns us against the dangers of the loss of critical thinking in the face of technological advancement while "Nineteen Eighty-Four" highlights the dehumanizing effects of unchecked state power and the erosion of civil liberties.

Bradbury celebrates the transformative power of literature and the importance of preserving intellectual freedom while Orwell's novel serves as a cautionary tale about the enduring struggle for truth and human dignity and the perils of totalitarianism.

So, in conclusion, while both "Fahrenheit 451" and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" share themes of censorship and societal control, they offer distinct perspectives on the consequences of authoritarian rule and the importance of individual autonomy. Bradbury's novel emphasizes the transformative power of literature and the need for intellectual freedom, while Orwell's work serves as a stark warning against the dangers of unchecked government power and manipulation.

#### V. PSYCHOLOGICAL CONFORMITY

"Fahrenheit 451" by Ray Bradbury and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" by George Orwell both reveal deep insights into the human psyche and its interaction with oppressive societies. Both novels delve into the psychological mechanisms that lead individuals to conform to societal norms, often at the expense of their personal freedom and autonomy.

Conformity, in psychological terms, refers to the tendency of individuals to adjust their attitudes, beliefs and behaviours to match those of a particular group or societal norm.

Both "Fahrenheit 451" by Ray Bradbury and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" by George Orwell explore the theme of conformity in dystopian societies, highlighting its psychological implications and societal consequences.



In both "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451," characters grapple with the tension between conforming to societal expectations and maintaining their individuality. The suppression of personal beliefs and values in favour of conformity can lead to feelings of alienation and cognitive dissonance, as characters struggle to reconcile their inner convictions with external pressures.

Characters in both novels experience internal conflict as they confront the discrepancies between their authentic selves and the roles prescribed by society. Winston Smith in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" grapples with cognitive dissonance as he navigates the contradictions between his rebellious thoughts and the Party's indoctrination. Similarly, Guy Montag in "Fahrenheit 451" experiences cognitive dissonance as he questions the morality of his role as a fireman tasked with burning books.

Conformity can also contribute to emotional distress and mental health issues among individuals subjected to oppressive societal norms. Characters in both novels experience emotional turmoil as they confront the psychological toll of conformity.

Winston Smith's struggle with despair and hopelessness in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" reflects the emotional burden of living under a repressive regime. Similarly, Montag's existential crisis in "Fahrenheit 451" underscores the psychological distress caused by conformity to a superficial and oppressive society.

Characters in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451" grapple with the suffocation of their intellectual and emotional freedoms in the face of societal conformity. The repression of dissenting thoughts and emotions leads characters to internalize feelings of powerlessness and resignation, contributing to a sense of spiritual emptiness and existential despair.

Conformity ultimately leads to the loss of individuality in "Fahrenheit 451." Characters like Guy Montag's wife, Mildred, exemplify the consequences of conformity as they willingly abandon their personal identities in favour of conforming to societal norms. The suppression of individuality perpetuates a culture of intellectual apathy and conformity, where citizens prioritize conformity over personal autonomy and critical thinking.

"Nineteen Eighty-Four" on the other hand explores conformity through indoctrination and thought control by the Party. The manipulation of language and information serves to shape individuals' perceptions and beliefs, fostering conformity to Party ideology. Cognitive dissonance, or the mental discomfort arising from conflicting beliefs, is prevalent in "Nineteen Eighty-Four," highlighting the psychological toll of conformity on individual autonomy and integrity.

Next, we can also take a look at gender roles and conformity in both the novels in order to get an insight into how gender dynamics intersect with social pressure and psychological conformity within dystopian societies.

In the novel "Nineteen Eighty-Four", the Party enforces strict gender roles that align with its oppressive ideology. In Bradbury's society in "Fahrenheit 451", traditional gender roles are reinforced through conformity and social expectations.

Mildred's conformity to societal norms reflects the stifling limitations placed on women in Bradbury's dystopian world. Her character serves as a catalyst for Montag's awakening, inspiring him to rebel against the conformity and emptiness of his society.

Both novels subvert traditional gender expectations through the portrayal of female characters who resist societal norms and assert their autonomy.

Conformity exerts a powerful influence on gender identity, shaping individuals' perceptions of themselves and their roles within society. Characters in both novels confront the pressure to conform to gender norms and grapple with the limitations imposed on their identities by societal expectations.

Orwell and Bradbury critique patriarchal power structures and the oppression of women within dystopian societies and by depicting the intersection of gender and conformity, both authors highlight the ways in which oppressive regimes reinforce traditional hierarchies and suppress individual autonomy.

Through the portrayal of female characters who challenge societal norms and assert their autonomy, Orwell and



Bradbury offer critiques of patriarchal power structures and the limitations imposed on individuals by conformity to gender expectations.

It is also important to explore the effects of social pressure on individual identity in both the novels as it would illuminate how oppressive societies shape characters' sense of self and agency.

In "Nineteen Eighty-Four", the Party exerts totalitarian control over every aspect of citizens' lives, leaving little room for personal autonomy or individual expression. Social pressure to conform to the Party's ideology is omnipresent, leading characters to internalize the Party's values and suppress their own thoughts and emotions.

Characters like Winston Smith engage in self-denial and cognitive dissonance to align their beliefs with Party doctrine, sacrificing personal integrity for the sake of conformity. Characters like Winston struggle to maintain a sense of self in a society that seeks to erase their individuality, leading to feelings of alienation and existential despair.

In "Fahrenheit 451" also, social pressure promotes conformity and complacency, discouraging critical thinking and intellectual curiosity. The government suppresses individuality and creativity through censorship and the burning of books, relegating citizens to passive consumers of state-sanctioned culture.

Characters like Guy Montag confront the pressure to conform to societal norms and grapple with the stifling limitations placed on their identities by conformity to the status quo. Characters like Montag and Clarisse McClellan experience feelings of isolation and disillusionment as they confront the emptiness of a society devoid of genuine human connection.

Despite societal pressure to conform, characters in "Fahrenheit 451" seek authenticity and meaning in a world dominated by superficiality and conformity. Montag's journey towards self-discovery and rebellion against the status quo reflects the human desire for individual autonomy and personal fulfilment.

Social pressure exerts a profound psychological toll on characters' sense of self-worth and identity, leading to feelings of alienation, disillusionment, and existential angst. Characters in both novels confront the challenge of reconciling their inner convictions with external pressures to conform, often at great personal cost.

Despite the pervasive influence of social pressure, characters in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451" resist conformity and assert their autonomy in defiance of oppressive regimes.

Through acts of rebellion, characters reclaim agency over their identities and assert their humanity in the face of dehumanizing conformity. The exploration of social pressure and individual identity underscores the resilience of the human spirit in the face of adversity.

Characters like Winston Smith and Guy Montag demonstrate the capacity for personal growth and self-discovery, even in the most oppressive of circumstances. The effects of social pressure on individual identity in both the novels highlight the complexities of human experience in dystopian societies.

Through their exploration of conformity, alienation, and resistance, Orwell and Bradbury offer profound insights into the struggle for individual autonomy and authenticity in the face of oppressive social forces.

Also, let's take a look at the psychological consequences of conformity in both the novels in detail as it would reveal the profound impact of oppressive social norms on individual mental health and well-being.

Conformity in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" leads individuals to internalize the oppressive ideology of the Party, suppressing their authentic thoughts and emotions to align with Party doctrine. The pressure to conform to societal norms results in the erosion of individual autonomy and the internalization of self-censorship.

Characters like Winston Smith experience cognitive dissonance as they confront the contradictions between their inner beliefs and the Party's propaganda. Characters experience a sense of emotional emptiness, as genuine human connections are sacrificed for the sake of conforming to societal expectations. Winston's internal struggle to accept the Party's version of reality illustrates the psychological toll of conformity on one's core beliefs and moral compass.

On the other hand, conformity in Bradbury's society breeds emotional detachment and apathy among individuals,

numbing them to the realities of their existence. Characters like Guy Montag experience a sense of emotional emptiness and spiritual alienation as they confront the superficiality of a society devoid of genuine human connection.

Conformity to societal norms precipitates an existential crisis for characters in "Fahrenheit 451," prompting them to question the meaning and purpose of their lives. Characters like Montag and Clarisse McClellan experience the stifling consequences of conformity as they confront the absence of genuine intellectual engagement in society.

Both novels depict characters undergoing an internal struggle for authenticity in the face of societal pressure to conform. Winston's journal entries in "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Montag's awakening in "Fahrenheit 451" reflect their attempts to preserve a sense of individuality and authenticity.

Conformity creates a duality of thought and emotion, where characters compartmentalize their true feelings from the expressions required by the conformist society. Both novels also showcase characters embarking on a quest for personal freedom as they resist the psychological consequences of conformity.

Winston's rebellion against the Party's indoctrination and Montag's rejection of the oppressive conformist culture exemplifies the pursuit of personal autonomy. Orwell and Bradbury employ narrative techniques, such as introspective passages and internal monologues, to delve into the psychological consequences of conformity.

By providing insights into characters' inner thoughts and struggles, the authors emphasize the internal toll of living in societies that demand strict adherence to conformist norms. The psychological consequences of conformity in both the novels reveal the complexities of human experience within dystopian settings.

Characters grapple with cognitive dissonance, emotional repression, and the erosion of personal autonomy as they confront the demanding pressures of conformist societies, providing readers with poignant insights into the fragility of the human psyche under oppressive regimes.

Both "Fahrenheit 451" and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" underscore the psychological aspects of conformity and its impact on individual autonomy and societal cohesion. They demonstrate how social pressure, fear, and manipulation contribute to the normalization of conformity within dystopian societies.

In both novels, conformity is portrayed as a mechanism of social control, perpetuating authoritarian rule and suppressing dissenting voices. The exploration of conformity in "Fahrenheit 451" and "Nineteen Eighty-Four" reflects the complex interplay between individual psychology and social influence. It underscores the psychological mechanisms underlying conformity, such as normative and informational social influence, as well as the psychological consequences of conformity, including cognitive dissonance and loss of individual identity.

Through their exploration of conformity, Bradbury and Orwell provide poignant critiques of societal norms and the dangers of unquestioning obedience to authority.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In the intricate tapestry of George Orwell's "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and Ray Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451," we have traversed the dystopian landscapes of totalitarian control, societal conformity, and individual rebellion.

From the haunting corridors of Orwell's Oceania to the incendiary streets of Bradbury's future, we have grappled with the complexities of human nature under the weight of oppressive regimes and psychological pressure. Orwell's experiences with totalitarianism and censorship in Spain and Bradbury's observations of mass media and consumer culture in postwar America provided fertile ground for the exploration of themes related to societal conformity and psychological pressure.

Through Orwell's depiction of surveillance, propaganda, and thought control, we confronted the horrors of authoritarianism and the fragility of truth in a world dominated by power and oppression. Bradbury's exploration of censorship, cultural stagnation, and the dehumanizing effects of technology offered a prescient commentary on the dangers of intellectual repression and the erosion of individual agency in the pursuit of societal conformity.

From the parallels between Big Brother and the Firemen to the existential dilemmas of Winston Smith and Guy Montag, we uncovered the universal truths embedded within their stories and the enduring relevance of their themes for contemporary discourse on power, freedom, and the human condition.





In our analysis of psychological conformity, we grappled with the complexities of individual identity amidst the suffocating embrace of societal norms. From the internalized oppression of Winston Smith to the existential crisis of Guy Montag, we confronted the psychological toll of conformity and the quest for autonomy in the face of oppression.

Through their exploration of cognitive dissonance, emotional detachment, and existential angst, Orwell and Bradbury invited us to confront the darkest recesses of the human psyche and to reaffirm our commitment to the enduring values of truth, justice, and human dignity.

As we conclude our journey through the dystopian landscapes of "Nineteen Eighty-Four" and "Fahrenheit 451," we are reminded of the transformative power of literature to challenge our perceptions, provoke our thoughts, and inspire our actions. In the face of tyranny and oppression, Orwell and Bradbury beckon us to resist the allure of conformity, to defend the sanctity of individual thought, and to embrace our humanity in all its complexity and contradiction.

### REFERENCES

1. Orwell, George. *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. Harcourt Brace & Company, 1949.
2. Bradbury, Ray. *Fahrenheit 451*. Ballantine Books, 1953.
3. Arendt, Hannah. *The Origins of Totalitarianism*. Harcourt, Brace & World, 1951.
4. Milgram, Stanley. "Behavioral Study of Obedience." *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, vol. 67, no. 4, 1963, pp. 371-378.
5. Asch, Solomon E. "Opinions and Social Pressure." *Scientific American*, vol. 193, no. 5, 1955, pp. 31-35.
6. Zimbardo, Philip. *The Lucifer Effect: Understanding How Good People Turn Evil*. Random House, 2007.
7. Festinger, Leon. *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford University Press, 1957.
8. Huxley, Aldous. *Brave New World*. Chatto & Windus, 1932.
9. Postman, Neil. *Amusing Ourselves to Death: Public Discourse in the Age of Show Business*. Penguin Books, 1985.
10. Eagleton, Terry. *Literary Theory: An Introduction*. University of Minnesota Press, 1983.



INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| Mobile No: +91-9940572462 | Whatsapp: +91-9940572462 | [ijarase@gmail.com](mailto:ijarase@gmail.com) |

[www.ijarase.com](http://www.ijarase.com)