International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

ISSN

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

IMPACT FACTOR: 5.649

Classical Music Tradition of India: Hindustani vs. Carnatic

Dr. Rashmi Sharma

Assistant Professor, Bharathi College of Education, Kandri, Mandar, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

ABSTRACT: Indian classical music, comprising Hindustani and Carnatic traditions, embodies a profound cultural legacy shaped by centuries of evolution. Originating from ancient Vedic chants, these traditions were codified in medieval treatises like Natyashastra and Sangita Ratnakara, leading to distinct geographical paths: Hindustani in North India and Carnatic in South India. Each tradition showcases unique structural frameworks Hindustani music featuring improvisational ragas (alap, jor, jhala) contrasting with Carnatic's systematic approach (alapana, tanam, pallavi)—and rhythmic talas, highlighting diverse compositional styles and rhythmic intricacies. Aesthetic principles underpinning Hindustani music emphasize emotional expressions through ragas (rhythmic modes) and genres like dhrupad and khayal, while Carnatic music focuses on bhakti (devotion) through compositions (kritis) dedicated to deities. Vocal and instrumental styles—characterized by Hindustani's khayal and thumri genres versus Carnatic's emphasis on gamakas (ornamentation)—further distinguish these traditions, enriched by historical influences such as Mughal, Persian, and Dravidian exchanges. Today, Hindustani and Carnatic music maintain global significance through efforts in preservation, adaptation (fusion, contemporary compositions), and educational initiatives. Their enduring relevance amid cultural shifts and technological advancements underscores their adaptability and resonance in contemporary music landscapes.

KEYWORDS: Indian classical music, Hindustani, Carnatic, ragas, talas, cultural heritage

I. INTRODUCTION

Indian classical music, a reservoir of rich cultural heritage, unfolds into two distinct traditions: Hindustani and Carnatic. These traditions, evolved over centuries, reflect unique regional influences, philosophical underpinnings, and stylistic nuances that shape their musical expressions. This exploration delves into the fundamental aspects and distinguishing features of Hindustani and Carnatic classical music, offering a comprehensive overview of their historical evolution, structural frameworks, aesthetic principles, and contemporary significance [1-3].

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Koduri, et al (2010) empirically investigated 750 emotional responses to tunes in popular raagas of Carnatic music, exploring the relation between raagas and rasas. They found raagas useful for content-based music recommendation and distinguished between Carnatic and Hindustani traditions. Their novel emotion classification, based on navarasa, suits behavioral studies in Indian arts. They also tested pitch-class profiles for recognizing Carnatic raagas, discussing the results and highlighting challenges in dealing with Carnatic melodies.

Serra, et al (2011) studied tuning in Carnatic and Hindustani music using empirical and quantitative methods. They analyzed interval histograms from recordings, finding that Carnatic music tends toward just intonation and Hindustani music shows equal-tempered influences. Carnatic music exhibited a more continuous pitch distribution, with no strong evidence of further octave subdivisions.

Vidwans & Rao (2012) explored methods to distinguish Hindustani and Carnatic music by analyzing melodic contours from vocal performances. Human listening tests validated that style distinctions are represented in the melodic contour. The presented features can be used for automatic style classification, highlighting the distinct historical and cultural influences on these traditions.

Agarwal, et al (2013) compared Indian and Western music, focusing on genre recognition and classification. Using Adaboost and GMM-based Hidden Markov Models, they achieved higher accuracy for Indian music genres. Their analysis showed that features effective for one music form may not work well for another, underscoring the need for tailored approaches in music information retrieval.

Chakraborty, et al (2014) traced the origins of Indian classical music to the Vedic Age, emphasizing its cultural and spiritual roots. They highlighted the transmission of music from guru to shishya and the sacred nature of sangeet, which included vocal music, instrumental music, and dance. The study underscored music's role in religious and aesthetic experience.

Viswanath, R. (2015) discussed the commercialization of Indian classical music through various historical periods, analysing the impact of Islamic and Western influences on Hindustani music and political movements on Carnatic music. The article examined the effects of notation systems and technology on traditional music practices, debating the implications of music corporatization.

Mammen, et al (2016) developed iSargam, a Unicode-based encoding system for Carnatic music notation. This system facilitated easy storage, publishing, and retrieval of music notation on computers, representing a significant advancement over existing Western music notation systems. The study highlighted Carnatic music's resistance to external influences and its pure tradition.

Padi, et al (2017) presented a category theoretic ontology for Carnatic music, demonstrating the flexibility of conceptual modelling techniques. Their framework integrated various analytical methods for music information retrieval and supported collaborative research. The ontology facilitated the storage and analysis of musical performances, aiding in raaga recognition and other studies.

Prasad, P., & Roy, J. (2017) critiqued the approaches of ethnomusicology and performance studies to Indian classical music. They emphasized the need to de-center music scholarship from traditional authorities, promoting engagement with multicultural perspectives. Their essay suggested pragmatic directions for future research, aiming to bridge generational and disciplinary gaps.

Kaimal & Barde (2018) overviewed Music Information Retrieval (MIR) with a focus on Indian classical music. They distinguished between meta-data based and content-based MIR, emphasizing the importance of audio feature extraction for dynamic identification and classification of raagas. Their work highlighted the complexity and high learning curve of MIR compared to text-based IR.

III. HISTORICAL EVOLUTION

Trace the origins of Hindustani and Carnatic music from ancient Vedic chants to their codification in medieval treatises like Natyashastra and Sangita Ratnakara. Explore how these traditions diverged geographically, Hindustani in North India and Carnatic in South India, shaped by cultural exchanges and historical migrations [4].

IV. STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORKS

Compare and contrast the melodic (raga) and rhythmic (tala) frameworks of Hindustani and Carnatic music. Analyse how ragas in Hindustani music are characterized by a flexible improvisational approach (alap, jor, jhala) contrasting with the systematic development of ragas in Carnatic music (alapana, tanam, pallavi). Discuss the role of talas in both traditions, highlighting differences in rhythmic patterns and compositions [5].

Aesthetic Principles
Examine the aesthetic principles governing Hindustani and Carnatic music, focusing on rasa (emotional essence) and bhava (expression). Discuss how Hindustani music emphasizes the emotive aspects of raga through its dhrupad and khayal genres, while Carnatic music embodies a devotional aesthetic rooted in bhakti tradition, expressed through compositions (kritis) dedicated to deities [6].

Performance Styles
Analyse the distinctive vocal and instrumental styles in Hindustani and Carnatic music. Compare the use of voice modulation (gamakas) and ornamentation (meend, kan) in Carnatic music with the khayal and thumri genres of Hindustani music. Explore how instruments like sitar, tabla (Hindustani) and veena, mridangam (Carnatic) contribute to their respective musical textures.

Impact of Cultural Influences
Discuss the influence of historical movements, patronage systems, and cultural exchanges (Mughal, Persian, Dravidian) on the development of Hindustani and Carnatic music. Examine how these interactions enriched repertoire, instrumentation, and performance practices, shaping the identities of both traditions [7-9].

Contemporary Significance

Evaluate the contemporary relevance and global impact of Hindustani and Carnatic music. Discuss efforts in preservation, modern adaptations (fusion, contemporary compositions), and educational initiatives that sustain these traditions amid evolving cultural landscapes and technological advancements [10].

V. CONCLUSION

Hindustani and Carnatic classical music stand as epitomes of India's cultural richness and musical sophistication. Their journey from ancient roots to codification and regional evolution has shaped distinct identities—Hindustani with its improvisational prowess and Carnatic with its structured precision. These traditions not only reflect historical and philosophical dimensions but also embody aesthetic principles of rasa (emotion) and bhava (expression), enhancing their artistic depth. The divergent performance styles—marked by Hindustani's vocal prowess in khayal and Carnatic's intricate gamakas on instruments like veena and mridangam—exemplify their stylistic diversity. Moreover, the influence of cultural interactions—from ancient exchanges to colonial patronage—has enriched their repertoire and instrumentation, fostering a dynamic musical heritage. Looking forward, Hindustani and Carnatic music continue to thrive globally, adapting to contemporary contexts while preserving their core essence. Initiatives in education and digital dissemination ensure their accessibility and relevance, reinforcing their role as cultural ambassadors. As these traditions evolve alongside modern influences, their enduring appeal underscores their timeless significance in the world of classical music.

REFERENCES

1. Koduri, G. K., & Indurkhya, B. (2010, October). A behavioral study of emotions in south indian classical music andits implications in music recommendation systems. In Proceedings of the 2010 ACM workshop on social, adaptive and personalized multimedia interaction and access (pp. 55-60).
2. Serra, J., Koduri, G. K., Miron, M., & Serra, X. (2011, October). Assessing the Tuning of Sung Indian Classical Music. In ISMIR (pp. 157-162).
3. Vidwans, A., & Rao, P. (2012). Identifying Indian classical music styles using melodic contours. Proc. of Frontiers of Research on Speech and Music, Gurgaon, India.
4. Agarwal, P., Karnick, H., & Raj, B. (2013). A Comparative Study Of Indian And Western Music Forms. In ISMIR (pp. 29-34).
5. Chakraborty, S., Mazzola, G., Tewari, S., Patra, M., Chakraborty, S., Mazzola, G., ... & Patra, M. (2014). An introduction to Indian classical music. Computational Musicology in Hindustani Music, 1-14.
6. Viswanath, R. (2015). Commercialization and Corporatization of Indian Classical Music. Sangeet Galaxy, 4(1).
7. Mammen, S., Krishnamurthi, I., Varma, A. J., & Sujatha, G. (2016). iSargam: music notation representation for Indian Carnatic music. EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing, 2016, 1-12.
8. Padi, S., Breiner, S., Subrahmanian, E., & Sriram, R. D. (2017). Modeling and analysis of Indian Carnatic music using Category Theory. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems, 48(6), 967-981.
9. Prasad, P., & Roy, J. (2017). Ethnomusicology and performance studies: towards interdisciplinary futures of Indian classical music. MUSICultures, 44(1).
10. Kaimal, V., & Barde, S. (2018). Introduction to Identification of Raga in Carnatic Music and its Corresponding Hindustani Music. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, 6(6), 955-958.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)