



ISSN: 2395-7852



# International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management

Volume 10, Issue 3, May 2023



INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

Impact Factor: 6.551

+91 9940572462

+91 9940572462

ijarasem@gmail.com

www.ijarasem.com



# New Challenges and Solutions Before Indian Democracy in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century

N Rajendra Singh

Assistant Professor , Dept. of Political Science, BSR Govt. Arts College, Alwar, Rajasthan, India

**ABSTRACT:** The New Year brings a challenge. Corrosion of democracy forms the backdrop to the 75th year of freedom, overshadowing the celebrations. The official website calls the moment “azadi ka amrit mahotsav”, though in the recent past, those talking of azadi were hounded as the tukde-tukde gang. Such is the fracture in our public psyche that azadi can be equated with an anti-national position on the one hand and on the other hand, a myopic view of azadi allows dismemberment of its core — democracy. The challenge, therefore, is to keep India’s democracy alive. Are we up to it?

The unexpected spread of democracy at the fag-end of the last century produced a global overuse of the term, denuding it of its meaning. Even as the industry of measuring and ranking democracies thrived, the practice of trading off democracy’s substance for its skeletal form became a booming business. Just as the “D” word became politically the most useful and used word, it also became so vague that its adversaries no longer needed to argue against it. Rather than anti-democratic arguments, we now witness the skillful taming of democracy.

In India, the taming of democracy is marked by three maladies. First, electoral majorities are understood to have elected a superhero with unbounded wisdom. The belief that the “king can do no wrong” would pale in the backdrop of faith in the leader’s motives and actions. The popular language of mandate becomes politically central to this phenomenon. Instead of electing (and changing) representatives responsible for governance, elections become the mythical ritual of coronation. While most parties are afflicted with this misconception and sundry representatives invoke it to justify their power and prestige, Narendra Modi has taken it to an unprecedented level. Not only has he assumed the role of being the representative of 125 crore people, he is also seen as the personification of popular will. This personification is then translated into legitimising a fundamental reworking not just of the physical structures of the polity, but its normative practices and ideological bases.

**KEYWORDS:** new challenges, Indian democracy, booming business, electoral majorities, power, prestige, maladies

## I.INTRODUCTION

India has a democratic form of government where people elect their representative through voting. Although the democratic form of government has been considered the best form of government, it still possesses some challenges and these challenges differ from country to country. Indian democracy too faces some major challenges. [1,2]The three main challenge are:

Foundational challenge:- Foundational challenge is the first challenge faced by Indian democracy. Establishing a functional and a Sovereign state, keeping the military and controlling government away from each other and bringing down the existing non democratic regime is involved in this challenge.[3,4]

Challenge of expansion:- This is the second challenge faced by Indian democracy. This challenge includes applying the basic democratic government principles across different social groups, all the regions and different institutions. It also involves extending federal principle to all the federation units, to ensure power to local governments and to include minority and women groups.

Deepening of democracy:- This is the third challenge faced by the democracy of India. Strengthening of the practices and institutions of democracy is involved in this challenge. The institutions that help in participation of people and control, this challenge simply means to strengthen those institutions. Since, common people from different societies have different expectations from democracy therefore, in different parts of the world this challenge takes different paths and meanings[5,6]

Note: In addition to these three main challenges, Indian democracy faces some more prevalent challenges like healthcare, overpopulation, poverty, low literacy rate, gender and caste discrimination and unemployment. Unemployment remains one of the major challenges to the Indian democracy.



## II.DISCUSSION

Flawed General Perception & Futility of the Present Reform Efforts: Selfishness, Moral-Decay, and Reassuring India's Religious Minorities etc. are generally considered as the causes of our society's degeneration. That is because we encounter them in our day-to-day life and also because we are constantly brainwashed/conditioned thus by our demoralized and institutionalized intelligentsia and media who do not dare to or are not capable to look further than their nose. But a deeper analysis would prove that they are really just symptoms produced by the degeneration. Even the malfunction of the political parties and other institutions, such as the bureaucracy, security agencies, media etc., are also not the cause but the manifestations/symptoms of this degeneration. Our political system itself, a PSEUDO-/PERVERTED DEMOCRACY [7,8] - that produces and promotes a tainted, opportunistic, timid and sycophantic political-leadership-hierarchy, breeds nepotism and perpetuates their reign; that cause the proliferation of political parties; that cause distortion of the people's verdict, instability and incompetence, is the real culprit. Communalism, an expression of group-selfishness, is subject to all that mentioned above about 'Selfishness'. That identity can be ideological belief, color, culture, language, caste, religion, region or any other factor. There are 177 million Muslims in India, and all view the new prime minister with suspicion given his past record of support for Hindu nationalism and charges that he failed to stop the 2002 sectarian riots in Gujarat, in which some 1,000 Muslims were killed. The issue of communal violence, though in decline over the long term, remained acutely relevant during the past year, as the country recovered from the 2012 ethnic and religious clashes, in which half a million people were displaced from northeastern states. [9,10] In September 2013, confrontations between Hindus and Muslims killed 40 people and displaced roughly 40,000 Muslims near the Uttar Pradesh town of Muzaffarnagar. It is a vicious cycle, internally producing ever-increasing acceleration for its degenerative trajectory: i.e. a flawed system producing a vicious leadership to operate it and this self-serving leadership manipulating the system and society to fulfill their own greed, to perpetuate their reign thus causing further degeneration. These traits are normally kept-in-check to a harmless limit, at times even sublimating them to supplement forces of progress, in healthy societies through dexterous management and inspiration by its competent leaders. In Modi's first speech as prime minister-elect, he vowed to work for all Indians. Yet there are still concerns that the Hindu nationalist could stir communal tensions with Muslims, who account for 15 percent of India's 1.2 billion people. Modi took a tough line in his campaign speeches on illegal immigrants from Bangladesh, a Muslim-majority nation, saying they should have their "bags packed" in case he came to power. He called Hindu refugees from the country "family". Modi denies the accusations and a Supreme Court inquiry did not find enough evidence to prosecute him. 2. Negative Role of Opposition: A democracy operates on the basis that there is room for choice all the way up to the selection of the government. This implies that the legislature, which makes the laws for the country, must itself provide an opportunity for various views to be heard throughout the term. These views should encompass not only those on the government benches, but also those who are opposed to the policies underlying the ways of operation. In other words, lawfully elected representatives of the people must be able to present and discuss alternative policy options even if they are not part of the government and do not have an immediate way of making their plans succeed. In present time opposition plays only negative role in India. Parliamentary sessions end without any legislation. [11,12] The opposition is the constituent part of Parliament that has the most incentive to use the statutory powers of Parliament to keep the government accountable. In general, if the government commands a large share of the seats with unchecked majority control of the legislature, policy outcomes will reflect the government's position. If the government has relatively fewer seats and the opposition has bargaining resources, then policy making could be shaped by the opposition. The opposition's ultimate sanctioning weapon is that it might be a credible alternative in the next general election. But it can be argued that in the practice of parliamentary opposition in India, the opposition uses Parliament more to impugn the credibility of governments than to exercise accountability for the sake of good governance. Most commentators on Parliament agree that opposition parties in Parliament are relatively weak at generating accountability of government. This is because of a number of structural reasons. First, the effectiveness of the opposition simply depends upon the party composition of Parliament. Where governments have a comfortable majority, there is not much that the opposition can do to censure government. Second, opposition parties are unable to generate new information about government activities that can allow them to take the executive to task. Virtually all opposition parties are reactive rather than proactive, reflecting the extreme organizational weakness of Indian political parties. Third, and unsurprisingly, opposition parties tend to focus on issues judged to have significant immediate political pay-offs rather than on the day-to-day functioning of government. Opposition parties are likely, therefore, to focus more of their attention on political scandals such as financial scams and corruption cases, where they can attack individuals rather than try to force institutional and systemic changes. But perhaps the principal reason that parliamentary opposition parties in India do not scrutinize the day-to-day functions of government with any seriousness is that political parties in India are flimsy institutions. The opposition in any parliamentary system faces another dilemma. [13,14] It cannot always oppose the government because it may be blamed for obstructing business; nor can it get away with the credit for enacting legislation. The opposition is most effective when it neither colludes with nor obstructs government. Arguably, this dilemma hampers opposition parties immensely in India's Parliament. There are numerous examples of parties that will often not vote for legislation, not because they disagree with the contents of a particular bill, but



because they do not want the government to be able to garner credit for passing it. Given the fragmented nature of parliamentary composition in India in recent years, the pace of legislation is extremely slow, even when there is substantive agreement among political parties. However, in the Indian case, the problem is more acute and has worsened in recent years. Parliament in the public mind is essentially a site for adversarial combat rather than of deliberative clarity. 3. Negative Role of Media: Today is the era of showing off. Everywhere, people believe in being hyper, whether it's a problem or it's a solution. No one's natural. So how can you expect media, the so-called —Representatives of the society to be good and harmonious? Of course not! If you think so, you are mistaken. India, being the world's largest democracy, rests on the media. Keeping this in mind, it becomes important for the media to realize its all-important role and work towards its correct utilization. These channels and newspapers report their own distorted and manufactured version of the news to gain popularity and recognition, even if it means stooping to extremely low levels. Their news is about the rich and the famous and is at the expense of the common man. Who knows what lies beneath?[15,16] With channel wars so bitter these days, that they would go any length to prove their point. But politics unfortunately is hardly about being a 'mere' people's representative. It is never that simple. A complex web of intertwined motives and strategies, it is difficult for any politician to come out clean, what with endless media diggings and searches into the candidates past, present and even future. The obvious reaction of any politician to any news story centered on him/her is to go into the defensive and play the blame game. Often, they end up making sweeping statements or unsavory comments, which are exaggerated by journalists preferring yellow journalism to an accurate reporting of facts. Half the politics of politics arises from the way it is reported by the media. Each issue reported about a ruling party manages to arouse the criticism of the opposition. Moreover, most informants of the society are under the influence of powerful politicians, causing biased views, nepotism and even negative campaigning in case of elections. Access to information is essential for a democratic society because it ensures that citizens make responsible, informed choices rather than acting out of ignorance or misinformation and information also serves a checking function. It is well known that media overlaps other functional areas of democracy and governance. For example, support for media may yield results in governance activities, particularly those related to decentralization, anti-corruption, and citizen participation in the policy process. Despite India's vibrant media landscape, journalists continue to face a number of constraints. The government has used security laws, criminal defamation legislation, hate-speech laws, and contempt-of-court charges to curb critical voices on social media as well as traditional media platforms. The election campaign brought heightened attention to the pervasive practice by newspapers and television stations of demanding payment from political candidates in exchange for news coverage. There are also problems with internet freedom. Under India's internet crime law, the burden is on website operators to remove content if requested to do so, and they face possible criminal penalties.[17,18] Potentially inflammatory books and films are also occasionally banned or censored. Recently Negative Media highlighted intolerance, award return cases communalism, and other issues. Biased media not highlighted government's programme for common people. I want to give example related Chhath Puja, after Diwali many people from Bihar and Uttar Pradesh go home, and New Delhi Railway station suffer huge crowd and casualties. Previous governments closed their eyes, but present government arranged extra trains and give facilities like food and shelter near station. No casualties happened this year but biased media was not highlighted and busy to try criticized government on other issues. 4. Ignorance by People: Our intelligentsia points to ignorant illiterate populace as the basic cause of our degeneration and related problems. They rant: 'we, Indians, with our illiterate masses, aren't matured for democracy. We elect the wrong persons as our representatives/governors because of the lack of literacy and awareness. For smooth running of our democracy we should educate masses to enlighten them, make them aware of (?)'. Others are prescribing some minimum academic qualification for peoples' representatives to eradicate corruption and inefficiency. Many of them are trying to enhance awareness of the masses on the fronts they think is necessary to regenerate India. So literacy or academic qualification is not the scale of integrity. Education can polish one's talents, give more knowledge about the world, but would not change his innate traits. It can make a crook a sophisticated crook, it would enable one to enter into higher echelons of the society and commit crimes of much higher proportions with a limited impunity using loopholes in the law and society. There may be exemptions but generally they respect the virtuous and aspire for good leaders to lead/govern them. Given a chance with impunity, irrespective of other incentives, they would express this discernment[19,20]. They have time and again demonstrated this wisdom, and resolve to use it judiciously, while using their voting rights to elect representatives to representative bodies. From where the voters, even with the highest possible awareness, would elect a competent one as his representative when the election arena is full of lackeys, sycophants and vicious manipulators only? If we have to get desirable results after democratic elections, if we intent our voters to elect competent men of integrity and character as their representatives/governors, first we should make sure that the candidates in the fray are of such qualities. 5. Corruption: Modi campaigned on an anti-corruption platform, and the perception of the ruling Congress party as riddled with graft, nepotism, and cronyism played a major role in its crushing setback. India was ranked 94 out of 177 countries and territories surveyed in Transparency International's 2013 Corruption Perceptions Index. Though politicians and civil servants are regularly caught accepting bribes or engaging in other corrupt behavior, a great deal of corruption goes unpunished. Domestic and international pressure has led to legislation and activism to counter this trend. While this legislation has had clear positive effects, more than a dozen right-to-information activists have



reportedly been killed since late 2009. Our social leaders and intelligentsia have never been tired of trumpeting corruption as the most prominent cause for the degeneration of our society. It is omnipresent. All of us are somehow related to it as victims, acquiescent or an active practitioner. And most of us are frustrated with its effects on our day-to-day life. Corruption is no longer taboo. It unfortunately even appeals to substantial section of population as a means of making easy money and to get rich quick or to avoid inconveniences.[21,22]

### III.RESULTS

Hence we easily fall prey to this highpitched cry vilifying corruption as the biggest reason of our society's degeneration. It was born with the intelligent human beings. Only its nuances have changed keeping pace with socioeconomic changes. All epics and religious books loathe it as we do today, and are full of teachings against it. Even today, many religious, social and political leaders and reformers are, as many before them had been, fighting it at may levels. Most of them are so fanatical on corruption they refuse even to consider any other cause. Others, built their name, fame and followers on anti-corruption platform (Anna Hazare, T .N. Seshan, G. K. Khairnaretc), are afraid of losing them if they admit their platform's futility. Many celebrated political leaders, in their crusade against corruption in their parent parties, had split them, and formed their own parties to lead anticorruption crusade. Some of them even won elections and made their governments promising to eradicate corruption[23,24]. (V. P. Sing's Janatha-Dal is there as a classic example for everybody to judge the hollowness of such platforms). If corruption was a basic cause, with all these onslaughts against it, it should have been disappeared long ago from this world. To our frustration, not only it has not diminished a bit but has thrived, even baptizing most anti-corruption crusaders into its fold. So, even if admitted it as the cause - just for the sake of argument, what logic is there in hoping that our present day crusaders would succeed to eradicate it today or tomorrow? Competent managers/governors and vigilant citizens in healthy societies are doing it efficiently and silently; while most of our managers/political leaders and even pretentious anticorruption crusaders and intelligentsia acquiesce, facilitate or practice corruption despite their vociferous anticorruption campaigns. By over-emphasizing on corruption, these crusaders are not only wasting their own energy and resources but are also deflecting our attention from the real cause: Perversions of our political system that breed practitioners and promoters of corruption, the tainted sycophants to manage it, are breeding corruption to ridiculously pathetic levels we experience today. 6. Justice and Injustice: The lower levels of the judiciary have been rife with corruption, and most citizens have great difficulty securing justice through the courts. The system is severely backlogged and understaffed, with an estimated 32 million cases pending in lower courts, and 66,000 at the Supreme Court. This leads to lengthy pretrial detention for a large number of suspects, many of whom remain in jail beyond the duration of any sentence they might receive if convicted. The creation of various fast-track courts to clear the backlog has prompted charges that in some instances due process has been denied. Access to justice is based upon the basic principle that people should be able to rely upon the correct application of law. In actual practice there are several countervailing factors. Some citizens do not know their rights and cannot afford legal aid to advocate on their behalf. A related aspect is fairness of access as some people involved in the legal proceedings and large number of criminal prosecutions are not voluntary participants.[25] The most severe challenge relates to complexity of adjudication as legal proceedings are lengthy and costly and the judiciary lacks personnel and logistics to deal with these matters. For example, at the end of 2006 over 4 million cases (42.42 lakhs) were pending in high courts and over 25 million (2.54 crore) in the sub-ordinate courts in the country. Systematic solutions are, therefore, needed for strengthening access to justice. At the same time ad hoc measures are required to provide immediate assistance to the needy citizens. 7. Centre-state relations: The new federal government will need to mend frayed ties with state governments, who often complain of New Delhi's big brother attitude. A fear of losing fiscal powers forced some states to delay the rollout of a nationwide goods and services tax (GST), which economist estimate would have boosted India's economic growth by as much as 2 percentage points. Opposition from states blocked a plan of the previous government to counter terrorism. Modi needs to act fast as states hold the key for a revival in capital investments, which barely grew last fiscal year as delays in clearances grounded many infrastructure projects. States wield much of the power in approving projects as only a quarter of approvals come from the federal agencies. 8. Insurgency and Internal Security: India's main internal security threat is from Maoists. They have acquired guns, hand grenades and guerrilla war expertise over the years and routinely target security forces and other government officials in areas that contains the country's richest mineral deposits. The Maoist insurgency in several parts of the country remains a serious problem. Among other abuses, the rebels have allegedly imposed illegal taxes, seized food and shelter, and engaged in the abduction and forced recruitment of children and adults. Local civilians and journalists who are perceived to be pro-government have been targeted by the Maoists. The Naxalites movement began in India in the late 1960s as a peasant struggle (in Naxalbari, West Bengal, hence the name Naxalites). It represented the revolutionary stream of Indian Marxism which did not believe that parliamentary democracy would lead to the requisite systemic change and argued for armed struggle instead. Since about 2005-6, the Maoists have become the main target of the Indian state, with thousands of paramilitary forces being poured into the areas where they are strong, and the prime minister repeatedly referring to them as India's biggest security threat. As a consequence, armed conflict is occurring across large parts of central India and is taking several hundred lives on an annual basis. In the state of



Chhattisgarh, which is the epicenter of the war, sovereignty is contested over large parts of terrain. There are three main perspectives on the Maoist issue. The first, which is the security perspective, equates the Maoists with terrorists. India's home ministry has put out half-page advertisements in all the national newspapers, proclaiming alongside photos of corpses that 'Naxal are nothing but cold-blooded murderers'. This perspective is blind to the history, ideology, and actual practices of the Maoists. The second, which is the dominant liberal perspective, epitomized by an expert group constituted by the Indian government's Planning Commission, might be labelled the 'root causes' perspective. According to this view, poverty and lack of 'development' (here meaning employment), and the want of primary services like education, are to blame for pushing people to support the Maoists. Maoist cadre are from adivasi or Dalit communities, middle peasants and upper castes play a significant role, especially in leadership positions. The third, which is the revolutionary perspective held by the Maoists themselves and their sympathizers, portrays the movement as a product of structural violence. While they describe people as forced into resistance and armed struggle, there is equally an emphasis on active agency and sacrifice, contrary to the root causes perspective that sees people as mainly passive victims.

9. Rape and Sexual Violence: Rape and other crimes against women are serious problems, and lower-caste and tribal women are particularly vulnerable. The fatal gang rape of a woman on a Delhi bus in December 2012 led to mass demonstrations and drew international attention. [26] General's Special Representative on Sexual Violence in Conflict, voiced strong concern about the prevalence of rape by stating that 'while bullets, bombs and blades make the headlines, women's bodies remain invisible battlefields'. The government responded by enacting significant legal reforms, and a special court sentenced four men to death for the crime less than nine months later. However, less publicized rape investigations and trials are still lagging nationwide. According to India's most recent National Family Health Survey report – which was released in 2009 and covers the years 2005 and 2006 – 37 percent of married women between ages 15 and 49 have experienced physical or sexual violence at the hands of their husbands. Rape happens everywhere, but India is a particularly tough place to be female. Over 40 percent of the child marriages in the world take place in India. Sex selective abortions occur there at staggering rates. In 2011, the gender ratio was at its most imbalanced since India's 1947 independence: among children six years old or under, there were only 914 girls per every 1,000 boys. Increases in wealth and literacy have only exacerbated the problem of female feticide. Despite the laws on the books, violence against women is so lightly condemned that over the past five years, India's political parties have nominated 260 candidates waiting to go on trial for various crimes against women. A handful of state and national-level lawmakers currently in office in India face charges of rape or other crimes against women. India's current public outrage might begin to chip away at this culture of impunity around rape. The Home Secretary has pledged more security on buses, and several fast track courts to adjudicate cases of violence against women, including the recent bus assault, are being set up; this program should be expanded throughout the country.

10. Economy and Unequal Development: Democracy is a good thing, both intrinsically and instrumentally. Intrinsically, it is a necessary component of the ability of individuals to live freely and autonomously. Instrumentally, it is an institutional guarantee that the policies and laws created by a government will have a reasonable fit with the fundamental interests of the people. Thus democracy is a central determinant of the quality of life, and a central element in the ability of men and women to live freely and autonomously as human beings. India is the world's largest country of illiterates and school dropouts, of child and maternal mortality, largest number of stunted and underweight children, and the largest incidence of anemia and TB, and the overwhelming majority of people lacking any of the social benefits that are considered as part of a minimum social safety net. More than 400 million people have less than what by Indian official standards will be considered the barest minimum poverty line. If one takes income inequality, or what is more important, inequality of economic opportunity, Indian inequality is one of the highest in the world, contrary to conventional wisdom. In a country like India inequality of opportunity largely depends on distribution of land, of education, and social identity—a child born in a rural landless adivasi (indigenous) family with very little scope for education will be severely handicapped in her life chances for no fault of her own. Some people think that preoccupation with issues of inequality deflects attention from economic growth which is what mainly alleviates poverty, through creating new and better jobs and generating more public revenue for welfare programmes. Apart from severe deprivations and high inequality of opportunity, another feature of the economy that raises special challenges for social democracy in India is that of all the major developing countries India has the largest informal sector, with 94 per cent of the labor force working there, most of them daily facing the brutal insecurity of no retirement, disability, unemployment or health benefits. [23,24]

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Modi biggest challenge will be to revive an economy that is mired in its worst slowdown since the 1980s due to myriad issues such as strained public finances, persistently high inflation, high interest rates and rising bad loans at banks. Public finances are in dire straits as government spending has outpaced revenues. The new administration will immediately need to take a decision on slashing subsidies spending, which is threatening a budget blow-out and a sovereign ratings downgrade. Similarly, the new prime minister has his work cut out to break Asia's third-largest economy out of chronic high inflation, which has forced the central bank to keep interest rates high even as growth



sags. Adding to inflationary worries are the prospects of below-average monsoon rains this summer, which could hit farm output and fuel inflation. Modi will need to address the problem of rising bad loans at banks, which have stifled credit flows to corporations. Stressed loans in India - those categorized as bad and restructured - total \$100 billion, or about 10 percent of all loans. India has the second-largest labor force in the world – about 500 million people – but roughly half of them work in the fields, on unproductive small plots of farmland that have been subdivided over generations. While the country has worldclass institutes of technology and management, it also has an abysmal record of giving children a basic education, partly because of resistance from rural Indians, who want their children to work rather than go to school. Many businesses also complain that graduates from all but the elite colleges and universities have few usable skills, and can require up to six months of training before being ready for work. Which is why P.M. Modi is casting a much wider net in his quest for economic development – for example, by pushing for hundreds of millions of Indians to open bank accounts, so that the central government can transfer subsidies to them directly rather than through corrupted middlemen. P.M Modi is making a concerted effort to improve India's manufacturing sector – acknowledging, in the process, that economic progress, without jobs, is not the way forward. —What we need is not just more production, he has said, —but mass production and production by masses.[25,26]

## REFERENCES

1. "Democracy". Oxford University Press. Retrieved 24 February 2021.
2. ^ Tangian, Andranik (2020). *Analytical Theory of Democracy: History, Mathematics and Applications*. Studies in Choice and Welfare. Cham, Switzerland: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-39691-6. ISBN 978-3-030-39690-9. S2CID 216190330.
3. ^ "Definition of DEMOCRACY". [www.merriam-webster.com](http://www.merriam-webster.com). Retrieved 5 July 2018.
4. ^ Locke, John. *Two Treatises on Government: a Translation into Modern English*. Quote: "There is no practical alternative to majority political rule – i.e, to taking the consent of the majority as the act of the whole and binding every individual. It would be next to impossible to obtain the consent of every individual before acting collectively ... No rational people could desire and constitute a society that had to dissolve straightaway because the majority was unable to make the final decision and the society was incapable of acting as one body." "There is no practical alternative to majority political rule %E2%80%93 i.e., to taking the consent of the majority as the act of the whole and binding every individual." Google Books.
5. ^ Oxford English Dictionary: "democracy".
6. ^ Watkins, Frederick (1970). "Democracy". *Encyclopædia Britannica*. Vol. 7 (Expo '70 hardcover ed.). William Benton. pp. 215–23. ISBN 978-0-85229-135-1.
7. ^ Wilson, N.G. (2006). *Encyclopedia of ancient Greece*. New York: Routledge. p. 511. ISBN 0-415-97334-1.
8. ^ Barker, Ernest (1906). "Chapter VII, Section 2". *The Political Thought of Plato and Aristotle*. G.P. Putnam's Sons.
9. ^ Jarvie, 2006, pp. 218–19
10. ^ Anderson, Christopher J.; Bol, Damien; Ananda, Aurelia (2021). "Humanity's Attitudes about Democracy and Political Leaders". *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 85 (4): 957–986. doi:10.1093/poq/nfab056. ISSN 0033-362X. PMC 8754486. PMID 35035302.
11. ^ V-Dem Institute DEMOCRACY REPORT 2022: Autocratization Changing Nature? pp. 6, 13, 18: "Dictatorships are on the rise and harbor 70% of the world population – 5.4 billion people."
12. ^ Economic Intelligence Unit Democracy Index, 2022, p. 4: "According to our measure of democracy, less than half (45.7%) of the world's population now live in a democracy of some sort, a significant decline from 2020 (49.4%)."
13. ^ Staff writer (22 August 2007). "Liberty and justice for some". *The Economist*. Economist Group.
14. ^ Popper, Karl (23 April 1988). "The open society and its enemies revisited", *The Economist* (2016 reprint).
15. ^ Annan, Kofi, "Democracy", Council of Europe.
16. ^ Gagnon, Jean-Paul (1 June 2018). "2,234 Descriptions of Democracy". *Democratic Theory*. 5 (1): 92–113. doi:10.3167/dt.2018.050107. ISSN 2332-8894. S2CID 149825810.
17. ^ "direct democracy | Definition, History, & Facts | Britannica". [www.britannica.com](http://www.britannica.com). Retrieved 2 February 2022.
18. ^ Dahl, Robert A.; Shapiro, Ian; Cheibub, José António (2003). *The democracy sourcebook*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press. ISBN 978-0-262-54147-3. Details.
19. ^ Hénaff, Marcel; Strong, Tracy B. (2001). *Public space and democracy*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. ISBN 978-0-8166-3388-3.
20. ^ Kimber, Richard (September 1989). "On democracy". *Scandinavian Political Studies*. 12 (3): 201, 199–219. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9477.1989.tb00090.x. Full text. Archived 17 October 2016 at the Wayback Machine



21. ^ Diamond, Larry; Morlino, Leonardo (25 November 2005). *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*. JHU Press. ISBN 978-0-8018-8287-6 – via Google Books.
22. ^ Scruton, Roger (9 August 2013). "A Point of View: Is democracy overrated?". BBC News. BBC.
23. ^ Kopstein, Jeffrey; Lichbach, Mark; Hanson, Stephen E., eds. (2014). *Comparative Politics: Interests, Identities, and Institutions in a Changing Global Order* (4, revised ed.). Cambridge University Press. pp. 37–39. ISBN 978-1-139-99138-4.
24. ^ "Parliamentary sovereignty". UK Parliament. Retrieved 18 August 2014; "Independence". Courts and Tribunals Judiciary. Retrieved 9 November 2014.
25. ^ Daily Express News (2 August 2013). "All-party meet vows to uphold Parliament supremacy". *The New Indian Express*. Express Publications (Madurai) Limited. Retrieved 18 August 2013.
26. ^ Barak, Aharon (2 November 2006). *The Judge in a Democracy*. Princeton University Press. ISBN 978-0-691-12017-1 – via Google Books.





INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| Mobile No: +91-9940572462 | Whatsapp: +91-9940572462 | [ijarasem@gmail.com](mailto:ijarasem@gmail.com) |

[www.ijarasem.com](http://www.ijarasem.com)